

City of Port Washington Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in the City of Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Fair	4	4.0	4.0	4.0
Good	53	53.5	53.5	57.6
Excellent	42	42.4	42.4	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

From the year 1990 to the year 2000, Port Washington's population increased by 12%, from 9,338 to 10,467 residents. At what rate would you like to see future population growth occur?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No growth	8	8.1	8.1	8.1
A slower rate of growth	35	35.4	35.4	43.4
Maintain current rate fo growth	48	48.5	48.5	91.9
A faster rate of growth	7	7.1	7.1	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Should development in the City of Port Washington be encouraged or discouraged?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Discouraged	14	14.1	14.1	14.1
Allowed but not encouraged	5	5.1	5.1	19.2
Encouraged	75	75.8	75.8	94.9
DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you agree or disagree that the City of Port Washington has become a "bedroom community" for people who work outside the City of Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Disagree	15	15.2	15.2	15.2
Agree	78	78.8	78.8	93.9
DK	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you like to see Port Washington remain a "bedroom community" in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	27	27.3	34.6	34.6
	Yes	41	41.4	52.6	87.2
	DK	10	10.1	12.8	100.0
	Total	78	78.8	100.0	
Missing	System	21	21.2		
Total		99	100.0		

Would you like to see Port Washington become a "bedroom community" in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	12	12.1	80.0	80.0
	DK	3	3.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	15	15.2	100.0	
Missing	System	84	84.8		
Total		99	100.0		

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need anymore of that type of housing?

..Housing options for senior citizens?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	21	21.2	21.2	21.2
	A little more	52	52.5	52.5	73.7
	A lot more	21	21.2	21.2	94.9
	DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Rental units for younger single person households?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	39	39.4	39.4	39.4
	A little more	31	31.3	31.3	70.7
	A lot more	16	16.2	16.2	86.9
	DK	13	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	12	12.1	12.1	12.1
	A little more	47	47.5	47.5	59.6
	A lot more	37	37.4	37.4	97.0
	DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	48	48.5	48.5	48.5
A little more	41	41.4	41.4	89.9
A lot more	3	3.0	3.0	92.9
DK	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Two-family condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	53	53.5	53.5	53.5
A little more	28	28.3	28.3	81.8
A lot more	9	9.1	9.1	90.9
DK	9	9.1	9.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Four to eight unit condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	68	68.7	68.7	68.7
A little more	19	19.2	19.2	87.9
A lot more	3	3.0	3.0	90.9
DK	9	9.1	9.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Rental units mixed with commercial development?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	48	48.5	48.5	48.5
A little more	34	34.3	34.3	82.8
A lot more	6	6.1	6.1	88.9
DK	11	11.1	11.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in the City? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means more land will be used to build new homes.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closer together	25	25.3	25.3	25.3
Larger lots, more land	64	64.6	64.6	89.9
Both	5	5.1	5.1	94.9
DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

In comparison to existing streets in the older parts of the City, do you think that new streets in developing areas should be wider, narrower, or the same width?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Wider	20	20.2	20.2	20.2
Narrower	5	5.1	5.1	25.3
The same width	71	71.7	71.7	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you agree or disagree that minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and traffic signals should be made in the City of Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	4	4.0	4.0	4.0
Disagree	30	30.3	30.3	34.3
Agree	43	43.4	43.4	77.8
Strongly agree	20	20.2	20.2	98.0
DK	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County for the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	25	25.3	25.3	25.3
Medium Priority	40	40.4	40.4	65.7
High Priority	27	27.3	27.3	92.9
DK	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	30	30.3	30.3	30.3
Medium Priority	32	32.3	32.3	62.6
High Priority	33	33.3	33.3	96.0
DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	6	6.1	6.1	6.1
	Medium Priority	22	22.2	22.2	28.3
	High Priority	71	71.7	71.7	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	61	61.6	61.6	61.6
	Medium Priority	30	30.3	30.3	91.9
	High Priority	8	8.1	8.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	36	36.4	36.4	36.4
	Medium Priority	33	33.3	33.3	69.7
	High Priority	30	30.3	30.3	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	37	37.4	37.4	37.4
	Medium Priority	29	29.3	29.3	66.7
	High Priority	31	31.3	31.3	98.0
	DK	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	38	38.4	38.4	38.4
	Medium Priority	34	34.3	34.3	72.7
	High Priority	27	27.3	27.3	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	31	31.3	31.3	31.3
Medium Priority	31	31.3	31.3	62.6
High Priority	37	37.4	37.4	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	36	36.4	36.4	36.4
Yes	62	62.6	62.6	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	18	18.2	18.2	18.2
Favor	73	73.7	73.7	91.9
DK	8	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor of oppose using county tax dollars to expand the interurban trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	18	18.2	24.7	24.7
Favor	54	54.5	74.0	98.6
DK	1	1.0	1.4	100.0
Total	73	73.7	100.0	
Missing System	26	26.3		
Total	99	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to expand the interurban trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	2	2.0	2.7	2.7
Favor	71	71.7	97.3	100.0
Total	73	73.7	100.0	
Missing System	26	26.3		
Total	99	100.0		

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	26	26.3	26.3	26.3
	Yes	68	68.7	68.7	94.9
	DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	20	20.2	29.4	29.4
	Favor	48	48.5	70.6	100.0
	Total	68	68.7	100.0	
Missing	System	31	31.3		
Total		99	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	4	4.0	5.9	5.9
	Favor	64	64.6	94.1	100.0
	Total	68	68.7	100.0	
Missing	System	31	31.3		
Total		99	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having the City of Port Washington create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in the City?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	23	23.2	23.2	23.2
	Favor	69	69.7	69.7	92.9
	Need more information	6	6.1	6.1	99.0
	DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using City tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Port Washington?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	15.2	21.7	21.7
	Favor	54	54.5	78.3	100.0
	Total	69	69.7	100.0	
Missing	System	30	30.3		
Total		99	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Port Washington?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	2.0	2.9	2.9
	Favor	66	66.7	95.7	98.6
	DK	1	1.0	1.4	100.0
	Total	69	69.7	100.0	
Missing	System	30	30.3		
Total		99	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in Ozaukee County. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	11	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Medium priority	45	45.5	45.5	56.6
	High priority	42	42.4	42.4	99.0
	DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	36	36.4	36.4	36.4
	Medium priority	41	41.4	41.4	77.8
	High priority	21	21.2	21.2	99.0
	DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	18	18.2	18.2	18.2
	Medium priority	21	21.2	21.2	39.4
	High priority	57	57.6	57.6	97.0
	DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	23	23.2	23.2	23.2
Medium priority	33	33.3	33.3	56.6
High priority	39	39.4	39.4	96.0
DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	13	13.1	13.1	13.1
Medium priority	25	25.3	25.3	38.4
High priority	60	60.6	60.6	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	20	20.2	20.2	20.2
Medium priority	21	21.2	21.2	41.4
High priority	55	55.6	55.6	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Preserving stream corridors ?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	11	11.1	11.1	11.1
Medium priority	26	26.3	26.3	37.4
High priority	56	56.6	56.6	93.9
DK	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Now I would like to ask you a few questions about Lake Michigan? How would you rate Ozaukee County government efforts to address each of the following issues relating to Lake Michigan? Would you say government efforts are adequate, need some improvement, or need a lot of improvement?

Beach erosion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	39	39.4	39.4	39.4
	Need some improvement	32	32.3	32.3	71.7
	Adequate	21	21.2	21.2	92.9
	DK	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Bluff erosion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	44	44.4	44.4	44.4
	Need some improvement	21	21.2	21.2	65.7
	Adequate	25	25.3	25.3	90.9
	DK	9	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Loss of plant and wildlife habitat along the Lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	26	26.3	26.3	26.3
	Need some improvement	30	30.3	30.3	56.6
	Adequate	29	29.3	29.3	85.9
	DK	14	14.1	14.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Runoff entering the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	35	35.4	35.4	35.4
	Need some improvement	26	26.3	26.3	61.6
	Adequate	19	19.2	19.2	80.8
	DK	19	19.2	19.2	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Public access to the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	42	42.4	42.4	42.4
	Need some improvement	28	28.3	28.3	70.7
	Adequate	25	25.3	25.3	96.0
	DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you say you favor or oppose a proposal for the City of Port Washington to provide more beach access to Lake Michigan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	11	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Favor	78	78.8	78.8	89.9
	Need more information	3	3.0	3.0	92.9
	DK	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using City tax dollars to provide more beach access to Lake Michigan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	15.2	19.2	19.2
	Favor	62	62.6	79.5	98.7
	Need more information	1	1.0	1.3	100.0
	Total	78	78.8	100.0	
Missing	System	21	21.2		
Total		99	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to provide more beach access to Lake Michigan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	2.0	2.6	2.6
	Favor	76	76.8	97.4	100.0
	Total	78	78.8	100.0	
Missing	System	21	21.2		
Total		99	100.0		

Current County ordinance requires buildings along Lake Michigan bluffs to be set back 75 feet from the top of the bluff. Because of erosion of the bluffs, Ozaukee County is considering increasing the setback to more than 75 feet in unincorporated (town

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	7.1	7.1	7.1
	Favor	83	83.8	83.8	90.9
	Need more information	4	4.0	4.0	94.9
	DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Do you own land on Lake Michigan?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	94	94.9	94.9	94.9
Yes	4	4.0	4.0	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the following community facilities and quality of life issues in the City of Port Washington?

Parks.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.0	1.0	1.0
Average	23	23.2	23.2	24.2
Good	53	53.5	53.5	77.8
Excellent	21	21.2	21.2	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Public Schools.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.0	1.0	1.0
Below average	3	3.0	3.0	4.0
Average	15	15.2	15.2	19.2
Good	37	37.4	37.4	56.6
Excellent	27	27.3	27.3	83.8
DK	16	16.2	16.2	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Natural environment.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Below average	3	3.0	3.0	3.0
Average	28	28.3	28.3	31.3
Good	52	52.5	52.5	83.8
Excellent	14	14.1	14.1	98.0
DK	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Job opportunities.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	18	18.2	18.2	18.2
Below average	26	26.3	26.3	44.4
Average	25	25.3	25.3	69.7
Good	15	15.2	15.2	84.8
Excellent	2	2.0	2.0	86.9
DK	13	13.1	13.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Public transportation options.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	9	9.1	9.1	9.1
Below average	25	25.3	25.3	34.3
Average	34	34.3	34.3	68.7
Good	26	26.3	26.3	94.9
DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Cultural facilities.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	20	20.2	20.2	20.2
Below average	30	30.3	30.3	50.5
Average	35	35.4	35.4	85.9
Good	10	10.1	10.1	96.0
DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Pedestrian walkways.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	3	3.0	3.0	3.0
Below average	8	8.1	8.1	11.1
Average	42	42.4	42.4	53.5
Good	40	40.4	40.4	93.9
Excellent	5	5.1	5.1	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Cost of living.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	4	4.0	4.0	4.0
Below average	10	10.1	10.1	14.1
Average	45	45.5	45.5	59.6
Good	35	35.4	35.4	94.9
Excellent	2	2.0	2.0	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Crime rate.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	5	5.1	5.1	5.1
Below average	14	14.1	14.1	19.2
Average	10	10.1	10.1	29.3
Good	43	43.4	43.4	72.7
Excellent	24	24.2	24.2	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Proximity to Milwaukee.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	2	2.0	2.0	2.0
Below average	1	1.0	1.0	3.0
Average	12	12.1	12.1	15.2
Good	55	55.6	55.6	70.7
Excellent	25	25.3	25.3	96.0
DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Lakeshore recreational facilities.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	12	12.1	12.1	12.1
Below average	18	18.2	18.2	30.3
Average	25	25.3	25.3	55.6
Good	33	33.3	33.3	88.9
Excellent	5	5.1	5.1	93.9
DK	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	6	6.1	6.1	6.1
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	22	22.2	22.2	28.3
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	31	31.3	31.3	59.6
DK	40	40.4	40.4	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	5	5.1	5.1	5.1
Fair	8	8.1	8.1	13.1
Good	45	45.5	45.5	58.6
Excellent	38	38.4	38.4	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in the City of Port Washington. For each of the following kinds of development and land uses, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Port Washington.

Residential development

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	21	21.2	21.2	21.2
	Medium priority	53	53.5	53.5	74.7
	High priority	22	22.2	22.2	97.0
	DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Retail development

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	12	12.1	12.1	12.1
	Medium priority	34	34.3	34.3	46.5
	High priority	52	52.5	52.5	99.0
	DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Development with a focus on service oriented businesses

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	17	17.2	17.2	17.2
	Medium priority	44	44.4	44.4	61.6
	High priority	34	34.3	34.3	96.0
	DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Industrial development with a focus on manufacturing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	25	25.3	25.3	25.3
	Medium priority	29	29.3	29.3	54.5
	High priority	43	43.4	43.4	98.0
	DK	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Industrial

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	13	13.1	13.1	13.1
	Medium priority	39	39.4	39.4	52.5
	High priority	44	44.4	44.4	97.0
	DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Tourism oriented development

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	12	12.1	12.1	12.1
Medium priority	36	36.4	36.4	48.5
High priority	50	50.5	50.5	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Development devoted to youth activities

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	6	6.1	6.1	6.1
Medium priority	39	39.4	39.4	45.5
High priority	50	50.5	50.5	96.0
DK	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Developments with a focus on entertainment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	16	16.2	16.2	16.2
Medium priority	50	50.5	50.5	66.7
High priority	32	32.3	32.3	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Recreational lakefront development

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	13	13.1	13.1	13.1
Medium priority	39	39.4	39.4	52.5
High priority	44	44.4	44.4	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Preservation of open space accessible trails

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	13	13.1	13.1	13.1
Medium priority	35	35.4	35.4	48.5
High priority	48	48.5	48.5	97.0
DK	3	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose adopting architectural guidelines in addition to those currently in place for new development in Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	29	29.3	29.3	29.3
Favor	55	55.6	55.6	84.8
Need more information	9	9.1	9.1	93.9
DK	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose adopting landscape design guidelines in addition to those currently in place for new development in Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	37	37.4	37.4	37.4
Favor	47	47.5	47.5	84.8
Need more information	10	10.1	10.1	94.9
DK	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose adopting site design guidelines in addition to those currently in place for new development in Port Washington?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	30	30.3	30.3	30.3
Favor	45	45.5	45.5	75.8
Need more information	13	13.1	13.1	88.9
DK	11	11.1	11.1	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Farm	1	1.0	1.0	1.0
Single family home	72	72.7	72.7	73.7
Condominium	9	9.1	9.1	82.8
Apartment	5	5.1	5.1	87.9
Duplex	2	2.0	2.0	89.9
Senior housing	9	9.1	9.1	99.0
DK	1	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Working	1	1.0	100.0	100.0
Missing System	98	99.0		
Total	99	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	11	11.1	11.1	11.1
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	19	19.2	19.2	30.3
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	21	21.2	21.2	51.5
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	18	18.2	18.2	69.7
	\$125,000 or more	1	1.0	1.0	70.7
	Refused	18	18.2	18.2	88.9
	DK	11	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	65	65.7	65.7	65.7
	Male	34	34.3	34.3	100.0
	Total	99	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	98	99	78	99
	Missing	1	0	21	0
Mean		1949.20	2.61	.85	26.18
Median		1951.00	2.00	.00	27.00
Mode		1939 ^a	2	0	2 ^a

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Town of Fredonia Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
	Fair	6	10.0	10.0	11.7
	Good	35	58.3	58.3	70.0
	Excellent	18	30.0	30.0	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need anymore of that type of housing?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	14	23.3	23.3	23.3
A little more	26	43.3	43.3	66.7
A lot more	13	21.7	21.7	88.3
DK	7	11.7	11.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	8	13.3	13.3	13.3
A little more	29	48.3	48.3	61.7
A lot more	15	25.0	25.0	86.7
DK	8	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	32	53.3	53.3	53.3
A little more	21	35.0	35.0	88.3
A lot more	2	3.3	3.3	91.7
DK	5	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	40	66.7	66.7	66.7
A little more	12	20.0	20.0	86.7
A lot more	2	3.3	3.3	90.0
DK	6	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	9	15.0	15.0	15.0
A little more	28	46.7	46.7	61.7
A lot more	19	31.7	31.7	93.3
DK	4	6.7	6.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	30	50.0	50.0	50.0
A little more	21	35.0	35.0	85.0
A lot more	6	10.0	10.0	95.0
DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	32	53.3	53.3	53.3
A little more	20	33.3	33.3	86.7
A lot more	3	5.0	5.0	91.7
DK	5	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	32	53.3	53.3	53.3
A little more	22	36.7	36.7	90.0
A lot more	3	5.0	5.0	95.0
DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development". These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in the Town of Fredonia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	5	8.3	8.3	8.3
Oppose	13	21.7	21.7	30.0
Favor	32	53.3	53.3	83.3
Strongly favor	5	8.3	8.3	91.7
Need more information	3	5.0	5.0	96.7
DK	2	3.3	3.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Should the Town of Fredonia require that single family homes be built on parcels at least one acre in size?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	12	20.0	20.0	20.0
Yes	47	78.3	78.3	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County for the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	16	26.7	26.7	26.7
Medium Priority	21	35.0	35.0	61.7
High Priority	22	36.7	36.7	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	17	28.3	28.3	28.3
Medium Priority	25	41.7	41.7	70.0
High Priority	16	26.7	26.7	96.7
DK	2	3.3	3.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Medium Priority	28	46.7	46.7	46.7
High Priority	32	53.3	53.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	28	46.7	46.7	46.7
Medium Priority	20	33.3	33.3	80.0
High Priority	9	15.0	15.0	95.0
DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	22	36.7	36.7	36.7
Medium Priority	26	43.3	43.3	80.0
High Priority	12	20.0	20.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	21	35.0	35.0	35.0
Medium Priority	16	26.7	26.7	61.7
High Priority	23	38.3	38.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	36	60.0	60.0	60.0
Medium Priority	11	18.3	18.3	78.3
High Priority	13	21.7	21.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	24	40.0	40.0	40.0
Medium Priority	15	25.0	25.0	65.0
High Priority	18	30.0	30.0	95.0
DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..State Highway 57?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	2	3.3	3.3	3.3
Agree	38	63.3	63.3	66.7
Strongly agree	19	31.7	31.7	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Ozaukee County Shared Taxi?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
Disagree	6	10.0	10.0	11.7
Agree	34	56.7	56.7	68.3
Strongly agree	8	13.3	13.3	81.7
DK	11	18.3	18.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Ozaukee County Express Bus Service?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	2	3.3	3.3	3.3
Disagree	8	13.3	13.3	16.7
Agree	40	66.7	66.7	83.3
Strongly agree	4	6.7	6.7	90.0
DK	6	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	43	71.7	71.7	71.7
Yes	16	26.7	26.7	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	20	33.3	33.3	33.3
Favor	32	53.3	53.3	86.7
DK	8	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	21	35.0	35.0	35.0
Yes	36	60.0	60.0	95.0
DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	18.3	30.6	30.6
Favor	24	40.0	66.7	97.2
DK	1	1.7	2.8	100.0
Total	36	60.0	100.0	
Missing System	24	40.0		
Total	60	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1	1.7	2.8	2.8
	Favor	34	56.7	94.4	97.2
	DK	1	1.7	2.8	100.0
	Total	36	60.0	100.0	
Missing	System	24	40.0		
Total		60	100.0		

Would you support development of trails for horseback riding in the Town of Fredonia?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	28	46.7	46.7	46.7
	Yes	29	48.3	48.3	95.0
	DK	3	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using Town tax dollars to develop trails for horseback riding in the Town of Fredonia?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	16.7	34.5	34.5
	Favor	18	30.0	62.1	96.6
	DK	1	1.7	3.4	100.0
	Total	29	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	31	51.7		
Total		60	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop trails for horseback riding in the Town of Fredonia?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	3.3	6.9	6.9
	Favor	26	43.3	89.7	96.6
	DK	1	1.7	3.4	100.0
	Total	29	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	31	51.7		
Total		60	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	22	36.7	36.7	36.7
	Favor	32	53.3	53.3	90.0
	Need more information	5	8.3	8.3	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	16.7	31.3	31.3
	Favor	19	31.7	59.4	90.6
	Need more information	2	3.3	6.3	96.9
	DK	1	1.7	3.1	100.0
	Total	32	53.3	100.0	
Missing	System	28	46.7		
Total		60	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1	1.7	3.1	3.1
	Favor	31	51.7	96.9	100.0
	Total	32	53.3	100.0	
Missing	System	28	46.7		
Total		60	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in the Town of Fredonia. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Preserving farmland?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	4	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Medium priority	18	30.0	30.0	36.7
	High priority	38	63.3	63.3	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Providing additional land for hunting?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	34	56.7	56.7	56.7
	Medium priority	13	21.7	21.7	78.3
	High priority	12	20.0	20.0	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	13	21.7	21.7	21.7
	Medium priority	22	36.7	36.7	58.3
	High priority	25	41.7	41.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	22	36.7	36.7	36.7
Medium priority	20	33.3	33.3	70.0
High priority	17	28.3	28.3	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	8	13.3	13.3	13.3
Medium priority	21	35.0	35.0	48.3
High priority	31	51.7	51.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	12	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium priority	29	48.3	48.3	68.3
High priority	18	30.0	30.0	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Create stricter regulations for non-metallic mining

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	18	30.0	30.0	30.0
Medium priority	17	28.3	28.3	58.3
High priority	17	28.3	28.3	86.7
DK	8	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	8.3	8.3	8.3
Medium priority	14	23.3	23.3	31.7
High priority	40	66.7	66.7	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	8	13.3	13.3	13.3
Medium priority	14	23.3	23.3	36.7
High priority	37	61.7	61.7	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river corridors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	8.3	8.3	8.3
Medium priority	15	25.0	25.0	33.3
High priority	39	65.0	65.0	98.3
DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

The Town of Fredonia currently requires a 35 acre minimum for agricultural land. Do you feel that the 35 acre minimum parcel size is appropriate for land zoned as agricultural in the Town of Fredonia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 35 acres is appropriate	34	56.7	56.7	56.7
Minimum parcel should be bigger than 35 acres	5	8.3	8.3	65.0
Minimum parcel size should be smaller than 35 acres	14	23.3	23.3	88.3
DK	7	11.7	11.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
Below average	5	8.3	8.3	10.0
Average	14	23.3	23.3	33.3
Good	20	33.3	33.3	66.7
Excellent	12	20.0	20.0	86.7
DK	8	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	2	3.3	3.3	3.3
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	7	11.7	11.7	15.0
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	41	68.3	68.3	83.3
DK	10	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

In which public school district do you live?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Northern Ozaukee School District	39	65.0	65.0	65.0
Random Lake School District	14	23.3	23.3	88.3
DK	7	11.7	11.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you agree or disagree that the Town of Fredonia is adequately served by the following utilities?

..Electric service?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Disagree	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
Agree	41	68.3	68.3	70.0
Strongly agree	18	30.0	30.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Telephone service?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	4	6.7	6.7	6.7
Disagree	7	11.7	11.7	18.3
Agree	35	58.3	58.3	76.7
Strongly agree	14	23.3	23.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

..Cable service?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	3	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disagree	17	28.3	28.3	33.3
Agree	23	38.3	38.3	71.7
Strongly agree	7	11.7	11.7	83.3
DK	10	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you like to see public water service made available to the Town of Fredonia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	33	55.0	55.0	55.0
Yes	22	36.7	36.7	91.7
DK	5	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you like to see public sewer service made available to the Town of Fredonia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	32	53.3	53.3	53.3
Yes	22	36.7	36.7	90.0
DK	6	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	4	6.7	6.7	6.7
Fair	15	25.0	25.0	31.7
Good	25	41.7	41.7	73.3
Excellent	16	26.7	26.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:

Manufacturing

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	17	28.3	28.3	28.3
Favor	43	71.7	71.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Retail

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	18	30.0	30.0	30.0
	Favor	42	70.0	70.0	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	14	23.3	23.3	23.3
	Favor	46	76.7	76.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Large scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	24	40.0	40.0	40.0
	Favor	35	58.3	58.3	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	6	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Favor	53	88.3	88.3	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Favor	49	81.7	81.7	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Transportation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	13	21.7	21.7	21.7
	Favor	43	71.7	71.7	93.3
	DK	4	6.7	6.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and Distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	32	53.3	53.3	53.3
	Favor	26	43.3	43.3	96.7
	DK	2	3.3	3.3	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Financial and Insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	13	21.7	21.7	21.7
	Favor	46	76.7	76.7	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	11	18.3	18.3	18.3
	Favor	48	80.0	80.0	98.3
	DK	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Arts and Entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	18	30.0	30.0	30.0
	Favor	42	70.0	70.0	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Would you agree or disagree that farming is an important economic activity in the Town of Fredonia?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly disagree	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
	Disagree	3	5.0	5.0	6.7
	Agree	37	61.7	61.7	68.3
	Strongly agree	19	31.7	31.7	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Do you believe that the Town of Fredonia Zoning Code restricts economic development in the Town?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	19	31.7	31.7	31.7
	Yes	26	43.3	43.3	75.0
	DK	15	25.0	25.0	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that planning for economic growth within the Town should be a high, medium, or low priority for the Town of Fredonia in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	4	6.7	6.7	6.7
Medium priority	33	55.0	55.0	61.7
High priority	23	38.3	38.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how Town of Fredonia residents feel about Town government and about cooperation between Town government and County Government. Would you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Town government has an impact on the lives of Town

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	1	1.7	1.7	1.7
Disagree	1	1.7	1.7	3.3
Agree	39	65.0	65.0	68.3
Strongly agree	14	23.3	23.3	91.7
DK	5	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Town residents have adequate input in Town government

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	3	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disagree	14	23.3	23.3	28.3
Agree	33	55.0	55.0	83.3
Strongly agree	5	8.3	8.3	91.7
DK	5	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

There is adequate cooperation between the Town of Fredonia and Ozaukee County governments

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	3	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disagree	8	13.3	13.3	18.3
Agree	25	41.7	41.7	60.0
Strongly agree	4	6.7	6.7	66.7
DK	20	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

The Town of Fredonia government generally operates more efficiently than Ozaukee County government

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	3	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disagree	13	21.7	21.7	26.7
Agree	12	20.0	20.0	46.7
Strongly agree	6	10.0	10.0	56.7
DK	26	43.3	43.3	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

How familiar are you with the Smart Growth law, which is also known as the comprehensive planning law?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not at all familiar	36	60.0	60.0	60.0
Slightly familiar	4	6.7	6.7	66.7
Somewhat familiar	9	15.0	15.0	81.7
Very familiar	4	6.7	6.7	88.3
DK	7	11.7	11.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

What effect do you think implementation of the Smart Growth law will have on land use in the Town of Fredonia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely negative	1	1.7	5.9	5.9
Negative	6	10.0	35.3	41.2
Nuetral	2	3.3	11.8	52.9
Positive	6	10.0	35.3	88.2
Very positive	1	1.7	5.9	94.1
DK	1	1.7	5.9	100.0
Total	17	28.3	100.0	
Missing System	43	71.7		
Total	60	100.0		

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Farm	6	10.0	10.0	10.0
Single family home	49	81.7	81.7	91.7
Apartment	2	3.3	3.3	95.0
Duplex	2	3.3	3.3	98.3
Other	1	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working	1	1.7	16.7	16.7
	Hobby	3	5.0	50.0	66.7
	Inactive	2	3.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	6	10.0	100.0	
Missing	System	54	90.0		
Total		60	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	3	5.0	5.0	5.0
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	12	20.0	20.0	25.0
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	12	20.0	20.0	45.0
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	13	21.7	21.7	66.7
	\$125,000 or more	1	1.7	1.7	68.3
	Refused	13	21.7	21.7	90.0
DK	6	10.0	10.0	100.0	
Total		60	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	34	56.7	56.7	56.7
	Male	26	43.3	43.3	100.0
	Total	60	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	57	60	56	60
	Missing	3	0	4	0
Mean		1953.14	3.27	.84	35.25
Median		1954.00	3.00	.00	36.50
Mode		1959	2	0	40

Town of Saukville Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
Fair	2	3.6	3.6	5.5
Good	26	47.3	47.3	52.7
Excellent	26	47.3	47.3	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

From the year 1980 to the year 2000, the Town of Saukville population increased by 9.8% from 1,583 residents to 1,755 residents. At this rate of growth the population of Town in 2020 will be approximately 1,930 residents. At what rate would you like to see future growth occur?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No growth	5	9.1	9.1	9.1
A slower rate of growth	16	29.1	29.1	38.2
Maintain current rate of growth	26	47.3	47.3	85.5
A faster rate of growth	5	9.1	9.1	94.5
DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing in Ozaukee County would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need any more of that type of housing?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	6	10.9	10.9	10.9
A little more	33	60.0	60.0	70.9
A lot more	10	18.2	18.2	89.1
DK	6	10.9	10.9	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	7	12.7	12.7	12.7
A little more	30	54.5	54.5	67.3
A lot more	11	20.0	20.0	87.3
DK	7	12.7	12.7	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	30	54.5	54.5	54.5
	A little more	19	34.5	34.5	89.1
	A lot more	6	10.9	10.9	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	39	70.9	70.9	70.9
	A little more	12	21.8	21.8	92.7
	A lot more	1	1.8	1.8	94.5
	DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	7	12.7	12.7	12.7
	A little more	29	52.7	52.7	65.5
	A lot more	19	34.5	34.5	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	32	58.2	58.2	58.2
	A little more	22	40.0	40.0	98.2
	A lot more	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	38	69.1	69.1	69.1
	A little more	11	20.0	20.0	89.1
	A lot more	5	9.1	9.1	98.2
	DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	31	56.4	56.4	56.4
A little more	20	36.4	36.4	92.7
A lot more	3	5.5	5.5	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in the Town of Saukville? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means that more land will be used to build new homes.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closer together	14	25.5	25.5	25.5
Larger lots, more land	39	70.9	70.9	96.4
Both	1	1.8	1.8	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development". These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in the Town of Saukville?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	8	14.5	14.5	14.5
Oppose	14	25.5	25.5	40.0
Favor	24	43.6	43.6	83.6
Strongly favor	5	9.1	9.1	92.7
Need more information	1	1.8	1.8	94.5
DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around Ozaukee County do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	16	29.1	29.1	29.1
Moderate	27	49.1	49.1	78.2
Heavy	9	16.4	16.4	94.5
Very Heavy	2	3.6	3.6	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County in the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	23	41.8	41.8	41.8
Medium Priority	16	29.1	29.1	70.9
High Priority	15	27.3	27.3	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	17	30.9	30.9	30.9
Medium Priority	23	41.8	41.8	72.7
High Priority	14	25.5	25.5	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	2	3.6	3.6	3.6
Medium Priority	19	34.5	34.5	38.2
High Priority	34	61.8	61.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	27	49.1	49.1	49.1
Medium Priority	19	34.5	34.5	83.6
High Priority	9	16.4	16.4	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	11	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium Priority	22	40.0	40.0	60.0
High Priority	22	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	14	25.5	25.5	25.5
Medium Priority	19	34.5	34.5	60.0
High Priority	20	36.4	36.4	96.4
DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	29	52.7	52.7	52.7
Medium Priority	16	29.1	29.1	81.8
High Priority	10	18.2	18.2	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	20	36.4	36.4	36.4
Medium Priority	15	27.3	27.3	63.6
High Priority	20	36.4	36.4	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	40	72.7	72.7	72.7
Yes	14	25.5	25.5	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	20.0	20.0	20.0
Favor	37	67.3	67.3	87.3
DK	7	12.7	12.7	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	15	27.3	27.3	27.3
Yes	34	61.8	61.8	89.1
DK	6	10.9	10.9	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	5	9.1	14.7	14.7
Favor	27	49.1	79.4	94.1
DK	2	3.6	5.9	100.0
Total	34	61.8	100.0	
Missing System	21	38.2		
Total	55	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	3	5.5	8.8	8.8
Favor	31	56.4	91.2	100.0
Total	34	61.8	100.0	
Missing System	21	38.2		
Total	55	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having the Town of Saukville create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	10	18.2	18.2	18.2
Favor	41	74.5	74.5	92.7
Need more information	2	3.6	3.6	96.4
DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in the Town of Saukville?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	6	10.9	14.6	14.6
Favor	34	61.8	82.9	97.6
Need more information	1	1.8	2.4	100.0
Total	41	74.5	100.0	
Missing System	14	25.5		
Total	55	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in the Town of Saukville?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Favor	41	74.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	14	25.5		
Total		55	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in the Town of Saukville. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	9	16.4	16.4	16.4
	Medium priority	31	56.4	56.4	72.7
	High priority	15	27.3	27.3	100.0
Total		55	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	17	30.9	30.9	30.9
	Medium priority	30	54.5	54.5	85.5
	High priority	8	14.5	14.5	100.0
Total		55	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	8	14.5	14.5	14.5
	Medium priority	11	20.0	20.0	34.5
	High priority	35	63.6	63.6	98.2
	DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total		55	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	8	14.5	14.5	14.5
	Medium priority	21	38.2	38.2	52.7
	High priority	26	47.3	47.3	100.0
Total		55	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for non-metallic mining in gravel pits and stone quarries?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	14	25.5	25.5	25.5
Medium priority	18	32.7	32.7	58.2
High priority	20	36.4	36.4	94.5
DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	4	7.3	7.3	7.3
Medium priority	15	27.3	27.3	34.5
High priority	35	63.6	63.6	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	7	12.7	12.7	12.7
Medium priority	14	25.5	25.5	38.2
High priority	33	60.0	60.0	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river corridors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	9	16.4	16.4	16.4
Medium priority	14	25.5	25.5	41.8
High priority	31	56.4	56.4	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Preserving farmland?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	6	10.9	10.9	10.9
Medium priority	24	43.6	43.6	54.5
High priority	25	45.5	45.5	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Given a situation where a farm in the Town of Saukville is sold, which one of the following best describes how you think the land should be used after the sale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	The land should be used however the owner desires	28	50.9	50.9	50.9
	The land should be kept agricultural	15	27.3	27.3	78.2
	The land should be allowed to be subdivided, but only as a conservation subdivision	9	16.4	16.4	94.5
	The land should be allowed to be subdivided for development	2	3.6	3.6	98.2
	DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
	Below average	2	3.6	3.6	5.5
	Average	7	12.7	12.7	18.2
	Good	24	43.6	43.6	61.8
	Excellent	13	23.6	23.6	85.5
	DK	8	14.5	14.5	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Your public schools are overcrowded	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
	Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	13	23.6	23.6	25.5
	Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	24	43.6	43.6	69.1
	DK	17	30.9	30.9	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

In which public school district do you live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Northern Ozaukee School District	13	23.6	23.6	23.6
	Port Washington	36	65.5	65.5	89.1
	DK	6	10.9	10.9	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
Fair	3	5.5	5.5	7.3
Good	29	52.7	52.7	60.0
Excellent	19	34.5	34.5	94.5
DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County. For each of the following kinds of land use, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County.

Making sure that new housing developments include parks and green space or have a park within walking distance..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	9	16.4	16.4	16.4
Medium priority	13	23.6	23.6	40.0
High priority	32	58.2	58.2	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Ensuring that adequate land exists for commercial and industrial growth..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	11	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium priority	37	67.3	67.3	87.3
High priority	6	10.9	10.9	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	11	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium priority	21	38.2	38.2	58.2
High priority	21	38.2	38.2	96.4
DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Placing a stronger emphasis on identifying and preserving historic buildings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	3	5.5	5.5	5.5
Medium priority	29	52.7	52.7	58.2
High priority	23	41.8	41.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Preserving Ozaukee County's small town character..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
Medium priority	15	27.3	27.3	29.1
High priority	39	70.9	70.9	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Do you think attracting new businesses and new jobs to Ozaukee County should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	3	5.5	5.5	5.5
Medium priority	26	47.3	47.3	52.7
High priority	26	47.3	47.3	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:

Manufacturing

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	20.0	20.0	20.0
Favor	43	78.2	78.2	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Retail

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	12	21.8	21.8	21.8
Favor	41	74.5	74.5	96.4
DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	7	12.7	12.7	12.7
Favor	47	85.5	85.5	98.2
DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
	Favor	53	96.4	96.4	98.2
	DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	18.2	18.2	18.2
	Favor	42	76.4	76.4	94.5
	DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Transportation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	8	14.5	14.5	14.5
	Favor	44	80.0	80.0	94.5
	DK	3	5.5	5.5	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and Distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	27	49.1	49.1	49.1
	Favor	23	41.8	41.8	90.9
	DK	5	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Financial and Insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	27.3	27.3	27.3
	Favor	38	69.1	69.1	96.4
	DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	12.7	12.7	12.7
	Favor	48	87.3	87.3	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Arts and Entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	6	10.9	10.9	10.9
	Favor	47	85.5	85.5	96.4
	DK	2	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Farm	4	7.3	7.3	7.3
	Single family home	40	72.7	72.7	80.0
	Condominium	1	1.8	1.8	81.8
	Apartment	2	3.6	3.6	85.5
	Duplex	5	9.1	9.1	94.5
	Other	2	3.6	3.6	98.2
	DK	1	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working	2	3.6	50.0	50.0
	Inactive	2	3.6	50.0	100.0
	Total	4	7.3	100.0	
Missing	System	51	92.7		
Total		55	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	4	7.3	7.3	7.3
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	9	16.4	16.4	23.6
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	14	25.5	25.5	49.1
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	11	20.0	20.0	69.1
	\$125,000 or more	3	5.5	5.5	74.5
	Refused	8	14.5	14.5	89.1
	DK	6	10.9	10.9	100.0
	Total	55	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Female	39	70.9	70.9	70.9
Male	16	29.1	29.1	100.0
Total	55	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	54	55	52	55
	Missing	1	0	3	0
Mean		1955.37	3.05	.94	27.85
Median		1958.00	3.00	.00	25.00
Mode		1937 ^a	2	0	30

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Village of Grafton Survey Results

Which of the following areas of Grafton do you think should have the highest priority for development?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid South Commercial District or South Side	5	13.5	13.5	13.5
Downtown Grafton or Central	16	43.2	43.2	56.8
I-43 Freeway Interchange District or West Side	13	35.1	35.1	91.9
DK	3	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

Which area should have the second highest priority?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid South Commercial District or South Side	8	21.6	21.6	21.6
Downtown Grafton or Central	12	32.4	32.4	54.1
I-43 Freeway Interchange District or West Side	12	32.4	32.4	86.5
DK	5	13.5	13.5	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the current state of Downtown Grafton? Would you say Downtown Grafton is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	3	8.1	8.1	8.1
Below average	14	37.8	37.8	45.9
Average	18	48.6	48.6	94.6
Good	2	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

In recent years would you say that Downtown Grafton has improved, stay the same, or declined?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Improve	17	45.9	45.9	45.9
Stayed the same	11	29.7	29.7	75.7
Declined	7	18.9	18.9	94.6
DK	2	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

In recent years would you say the rate of population growth in Grafton has been too fast, too slow, or about right?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Too fast	12	32.4	32.4	32.4
About right	22	59.5	59.5	91.9
DK	3	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

In recent years would you say the rate of single-family residential growth in Grafton has been too fast, too slow, or about right?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Too fast	11	29.7	29.7	29.7
About right	15	40.5	40.5	70.3
Too slow	7	18.9	18.9	89.2
DK	4	10.8	10.8	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

In recent years would you say the rate of multi-family residential growth in Grafton has been too fast, too slow, or about right?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Too fast	25	67.6	67.6	67.6
About right	8	21.6	21.6	89.2
Too slow	1	2.7	2.7	91.9
DK	3	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

In recent years would you say the rate of commercial and industrial growth in Grafton has been too fast, too slow, or about right?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Too fast	5	13.5	13.5	13.5
About right	18	48.6	48.6	62.2
Too slow	12	32.4	32.4	94.6
DK	2	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

Of the following types of possible new businesses or facilities, which do you think would be the most important to locate in Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Restaurant	14	37.8	37.8	37.8
Banquet Hall	5	13.5	13.5	51.4
Hotel	3	8.1	8.1	59.5
Recreational Center	5	13.5	13.5	73.0
Retail Center	10	27.0	27.0	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

**How would you rate environmental preservation and protection in Grafton?
Would you say environmental preservation and protection has been:**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	2.7	2.7	2.7
Below average	5	13.5	13.5	16.2
Average	15	40.5	40.5	56.8
Good	12	32.4	32.4	89.2
Excellent	3	8.1	8.1	97.3
DK	1	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Farm	1	2.7	2.7	2.7
Single family home	27	73.0	73.0	75.7
Condominium	5	13.5	13.5	89.2
Apartment	3	8.1	8.1	97.3
Other	1	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total	37	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Working	1	2.7	100.0	100.0
Missing System	36	97.3		
Total	37	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	3	8.1	8.1	8.1
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	8	21.6	21.6	29.7
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	9	24.3	24.3	54.1
	\$125,000 or more	4	10.8	10.8	64.9
	Refused	11	29.7	29.7	94.6
	DK	2	5.4	5.4	100.0
	Total	37	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	22	59.5	59.5	59.5
	Male	15	40.5	40.5	100.0
	Total	37	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	36	37	30	37
	Missing	1	0	7	0
Mean		1949.36	2.70	.60	24.49
Median		1949.00	2.00	.00	22.00
Mode		1937 ^a	2	0	10 ^a

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Town of Cedarburg Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in the Town of Cedarburg?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
	Excellent	31	58.5	58.5	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

From the year 1990 to the year 2000, Cedarburg's population increased by 12%, from 9,338 to 10,467 residents. At what rate would you like to see future population growth occur?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No growth	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
A slower rate of growth	30	56.6	56.6	60.4
Maintain current rate fo growth	20	37.7	37.7	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using conservation subdivisions as a means to allow development while protecting green space. Conservation subdivisions typically have compact lots and common open space, where natural features of land are maintained to the extent possible. Our current conservation subdivision codes allow one home site per every four and one-half acres. Do you favor conservation subdivisions in the Town of Cedarburg?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	9	17.0	17.0	17.0
Nuetral	6	11.3	11.3	28.3
Favor	22	41.5	41.5	69.8
Strongly Favor	15	28.3	28.3	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in the City?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, sidewalks, and playgrounds even if it means that homes have to be built closer together.	7	13.2	13.2	13.2
We should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means that more land will be used to build new homes.	13	24.5	24.5	37.7
We should plan for each of the above, depending on location.	30	56.6	56.6	94.3
Not sure/No opinion	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around the Town of Cedarburg do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	25	47.2	47.2	47.2
Moderate	22	41.5	41.5	88.7
Heavy	3	5.7	5.7	94.3
Very Heavy	1	1.9	1.9	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose the widening of Wauwatosa Road?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Oppose	26	49.1	49.1	52.8
Neutral	3	5.7	5.7	58.5
Favor	18	34.0	34.0	92.5
Strongly Favor	2	3.8	3.8	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose the continuing the development of the Town of Cedarburg bicycle and pedestrian trail system?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	3	5.7	5.7	5.7
Favor	49	92.5	92.5	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

What is the source of your drinking water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Private well	47	88.7	88.7	88.7
Shared well	1	1.9	1.9	90.6
City of Cedarburg water	4	7.5	7.5	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you say the Town of Cedarburg has problems with groundwater quality?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	38	71.7	71.7	71.7
Yes	7	13.2	13.2	84.9
DK	8	15.1	15.1	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you say the Town of Cedarburg has a shortage of groundwater?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	37	69.8	69.8	69.8
DK	16	30.2	30.2	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

To what extent do you agree or disagree that preservation of farmland in the Town of Cedarburg is important? Do you.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Disagree	3	5.7	5.7	9.4
Neutral	8	15.1	15.1	24.5
Agree	22	41.5	41.5	66.0
Strongly agree	18	34.0	34.0	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Given a situation where a farm is sold, which one of the following best describes how you think the land should be used after the sale?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid The land should be allowed to be subdivided, but only as a conservation subdivision.	24	45.3	45.3	45.3
The land should be kept in agriculture.	9	17.0	17.0	62.3
The land should be used however the owner desires.	16	30.2	30.2	92.5
DK	4	7.5	7.5	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose having the Town of Cedarburg create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County? The funds could be used only to buy and preserve natural areas.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	8	15.1	15.1	15.1
Favor	43	81.1	81.1	96.2
Need more information	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

In planning for the future, please indicate how important or unimportant you believe the following initiatives will be to protect or improve the quality of the natural environment in the Town of Cedarburg.

Spending more to maintain parks and open spaces..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unimportant	9	17.0	17.0	17.0
Important	43	81.1	81.1	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Stricter water quality regulations..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unimportant	12	22.6	22.6	22.6
Important	38	71.7	71.7	94.3
DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Stricter flood control...

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unimportant	17	32.1	32.1	32.1
Important	29	54.7	54.7	86.8
DK	7	13.2	13.2	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Stricter regulations for non-metallic mining in gravel pits and stone quarries..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unimportant	14	26.4	26.4	26.4
Important	29	54.7	54.7	81.1
DK	10	18.9	18.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create passive park spaces...

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unimportant	18	34.0	34.0	34.0
Important	31	58.5	58.5	92.5
DK	4	7.5	7.5	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create active park spaces...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unimportant	21	39.6	39.6	39.6
	Important	29	54.7	54.7	94.3
	DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unimportant	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Important	46	86.8	86.8	90.6
	DK	5	9.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unimportant	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Important	49	92.5	92.5	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river and creek corridors...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unimportant	4	7.5	7.5	7.5
	Important	48	90.6	90.6	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of education our local schools provide? Would you say they are:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
	Below average	2	3.8	3.8	5.7
	Average	5	9.4	9.4	15.1
	Good	24	45.3	45.3	60.4
	Excellent	15	28.3	28.3	88.7
	DK	6	11.3	11.3	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	12	22.6	22.6	26.4
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	23	43.4	43.4	69.8
DK	16	30.2	30.2	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Please indicate how strongly you would agree or disagree with the following statements:

New housing developments should include parks and green space.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Disagree	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Disagree	3	5.7	5.7	9.4
Nuetral	5	9.4	9.4	18.9
Agree	22	41.5	41.5	60.4
Strongly agree	20	37.7	37.7	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

New home construction and business development should be limited in order to preserve farmland.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Disagree	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Disagree	6	11.3	11.3	13.2
Nuetral	7	13.2	13.2	26.4
Agree	28	52.8	52.8	79.2
Strongly agree	10	18.9	18.9	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

It is very important to attract new businesses and new jobs to the Town of Cedarburg to make our economy stronger.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Disagree	4	7.5	7.5	7.5
Disagree	8	15.1	15.1	22.6
Nuetral	5	9.4	9.4	32.1
Agree	26	49.1	49.1	81.1
Strongly agree	10	18.9	18.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

We need to assure that adequate land exists for future job growth.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Disagree	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Disagree	10	18.9	18.9	22.6
Nuetral	7	13.2	13.2	35.8
Agree	27	50.9	50.9	86.8
Strongly agree	5	9.4	9.4	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

The Town of Cedarburg should limit the number and location of big-box retail development.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Disagree	4	7.5	7.5	7.5
Nuetral	8	15.1	15.1	22.6
Agree	19	35.8	35.8	58.5
Strongly agree	21	39.6	39.6	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

We should place a strong emphasis on identifying and preserving historic buildings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Disagree	7	13.2	13.2	13.2
Nuetral	5	9.4	9.4	22.6
Agree	28	52.8	52.8	75.5
Strongly agree	13	24.5	24.5	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

We should preserve our historic small town character to promote tourism.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Disagree	5	9.4	9.4	9.4
Nuetral	4	7.5	7.5	17.0
Agree	29	54.7	54.7	71.7
Strongly agree	15	28.3	28.3	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How many library cards does your household currently have?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Zero	6	11.3	11.3	11.3
One	12	22.6	22.6	34.0
Two	15	28.3	28.3	62.3
More than two	20	37.7	37.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How often does someone from your family use library services?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	4	7.5	7.5	7.5
	Less than once	10	18.9	18.9	26.4
	Once per month	12	22.6	22.6	49.1
	Two to Three times per month	16	30.2	30.2	79.2
	Four or more times per month	10	18.9	18.9	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How do you rate our current library services?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Below average	5	9.4	9.4	9.4
	Average	12	22.6	22.6	32.1
	Good	17	32.1	32.1	64.2
	Excellent	14	26.4	26.4	90.6
	DK	5	9.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you use county library services from outside of the Cedarburg library?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	27	50.9	50.9	50.9
	Yes	25	47.2	47.2	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you feel we need to expand the Cedarburg library?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	24	45.3	45.3	45.3
	Yes	27	50.9	50.9	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Should there be a new library or should the current library be expanded?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	11	20.8	20.8	20.8
	Yes	31	58.5	58.5	79.2
	DK	11	20.8	20.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you know the name of your town supervisor?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	35	66.0	66.0	66.0
	Yes	18	34.0	34.0	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How often do you attend town board meetings?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at all	37	69.8	69.8	69.8
	Only if an agenda item affects me	4	7.5	7.5	77.4
	One per year	7	13.2	13.2	90.6
	Two to five per year	4	7.5	7.5	98.1
	Six or more per year	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Rate the responsiveness of the Town of Cedarburg to your inquiries.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	5	9.4	9.4	9.4
	Good	17	32.1	32.1	41.5
	Fair	9	17.0	17.0	58.5
	Poor	2	3.8	3.8	62.3
	Never contacted the Town of Cedarburg about a concern	14	26.4	26.4	88.7
	DK	6	11.3	11.3	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Are you on the Town of Cedarburg email list to receive up-dates from the town chairman?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	44	83.0	83.0	83.0
	Yes	6	11.3	11.3	94.3
	DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Farm	3	5.7	5.7	5.7
	Single family home	43	81.1	81.1	86.8
	Condominium	1	1.9	1.9	88.7
	Apartment	3	5.7	5.7	94.3
	Senior housing	1	1.9	1.9	96.2
	Other	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working	2	3.8	66.7	66.7
	Hobby	1	1.9	33.3	100.0
	Total	3	5.7	100.0	
Missing	System	50	94.3		
Total		53	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	4	7.5	7.5	7.5
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	4	7.5	7.5	15.1
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	6	11.3	11.3	26.4
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	14	26.4	26.4	52.8
	\$125,000 or more	8	15.1	15.1	67.9
	Refused	10	18.9	18.9	86.8
DK	7	13.2	13.2	100.0	
Total		53	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	36	67.9	67.9	67.9
	Male	17	32.1	32.1	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	51	53	49	53
	Missing	2	0	4	0
Mean		1953.92	3.30	.98	23.08
Median		1954.00	3.00	.00	19.00
Mode		1952 ^a	2	0	2 ^a

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Village of Thiensville Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Fair	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Good	18	34.0	34.0	37.7
Excellent	33	62.3	62.3	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing in Ozaukee County would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need any more of that type of housing?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	20	37.7	37.7	37.7
A little more	21	39.6	39.6	77.4
A lot more	7	13.2	13.2	90.6
DK	5	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
A little more	17	32.1	32.1	73.6
A lot more	9	17.0	17.0	90.6
DK	5	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	25	47.2	47.2	47.2
A little more	16	30.2	30.2	77.4
A lot more	11	20.8	20.8	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	43	81.1	81.1	81.1
A little more	7	13.2	13.2	94.3
A lot more	2	3.8	3.8	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	15	28.3	28.3	28.3
A little more	19	35.8	35.8	64.2
A lot more	18	34.0	34.0	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	44	83.0	83.0	83.0
A little more	4	7.5	7.5	90.6
A lot more	5	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	29	54.7	54.7	54.7
A little more	18	34.0	34.0	88.7
A lot more	3	5.7	5.7	94.3
DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	37	69.8	69.8	69.8
A little more	8	15.1	15.1	84.9
A lot more	4	7.5	7.5	92.5
DK	4	7.5	7.5	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around Ozaukee County do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	14	26.4	26.4	26.4
Moderate	30	56.6	56.6	83.0
Heavy	7	13.2	13.2	96.2
Very Heavy	1	1.9	1.9	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County in the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	17	32.1	32.1	32.1
	Medium Priority	21	39.6	39.6	71.7
	High Priority	14	26.4	26.4	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	15	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Medium Priority	20	37.7	37.7	66.0
	High Priority	15	28.3	28.3	94.3
	DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	6	11.3	11.3	11.3
	Medium Priority	10	18.9	18.9	30.2
	High Priority	37	69.8	69.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	27	50.9	50.9	50.9
	Medium Priority	18	34.0	34.0	84.9
	High Priority	8	15.1	15.1	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
	Medium Priority	16	30.2	30.2	71.7
	High Priority	15	28.3	28.3	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	27	50.9	50.9	50.9
Medium Priority	9	17.0	17.0	67.9
High Priority	17	32.1	32.1	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	33	62.3	62.3	62.3
Medium Priority	8	15.1	15.1	77.4
High Priority	12	22.6	22.6	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	23	43.4	43.4	43.4
Medium Priority	14	26.4	26.4	69.8
High Priority	15	28.3	28.3	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

..Provision of Ozaukee County bus service to the Village

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
Medium Priority	12	22.6	22.6	64.2
High Priority	17	32.1	32.1	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	15	28.3	28.3	28.3
Yes	38	71.7	71.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	16	30.2	30.2	30.2
	Favor	35	66.0	66.0	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	18	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Yes	33	62.3	62.3	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	13.2	21.2	21.2
	Favor	25	47.2	75.8	97.0
	DK	1	1.9	3.0	100.0
	Total	33	62.3	100.0	
Missing	System	20	37.7		
Total		53	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1	1.9	3.0	3.0
	Favor	31	58.5	93.9	97.0
	DK	1	1.9	3.0	100.0
	Total	33	62.3	100.0	
Missing	System	20	37.7		
Total		53	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	18.9	18.9	18.9
	Favor	41	77.4	77.4	96.2
	Need more information	1	1.9	1.9	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	13.2	17.1	17.1
	Favor	34	64.2	82.9	100.0
	Total	41	77.4	100.0	
Missing	System	12	22.6		
Total		53	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	3.8	4.9	4.9
	Favor	39	73.6	95.1	100.0
	Total	41	77.4	100.0	
Missing	System	12	22.6		
Total		53	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in Ozaukee County. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	6	11.3	11.3	11.3
	Medium priority	28	52.8	52.8	64.2
	High priority	19	35.8	35.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
	Medium priority	20	37.7	37.7	79.2
	High priority	10	18.9	18.9	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	7	13.2	13.2	13.2
	Medium priority	14	26.4	26.4	39.6
	High priority	31	58.5	58.5	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	6	11.3	11.3	11.3
Medium priority	10	18.9	18.9	30.2
High priority	36	67.9	67.9	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Create stricter regulations for non-metallic mining

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	17	32.1	32.1	32.1
Medium priority	17	32.1	32.1	64.2
High priority	10	18.9	18.9	83.0
DK	9	17.0	17.0	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	3	5.7	5.7	5.7
Medium priority	10	18.9	18.9	24.5
High priority	40	75.5	75.5	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	9.4	9.4	9.4
Medium priority	14	26.4	26.4	35.8
High priority	33	62.3	62.3	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river corridors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	9.4	9.4	9.4
Medium priority	11	20.8	20.8	30.2
High priority	37	69.8	69.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving farmland?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	7	13.2	13.2	13.2
Medium priority	10	18.9	18.9	32.1
High priority	35	66.0	66.0	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Below average	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Average	3	5.7	5.7	7.5
Good	10	18.9	18.9	26.4
Excellent	27	50.9	50.9	77.4
DK	12	22.6	22.6	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	11	20.8	20.8	24.5
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	26	49.1	49.1	73.6
DK	14	26.4	26.4	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Fair	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Good	21	39.6	39.6	43.4
Excellent	30	56.6	56.6	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

When major street improvements are constructed in the Village, do you support burying utilities (electric, phone,cable)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	6	11.3	11.3	11.3
	Yes	45	84.9	84.9	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you support public financing for buying utilities?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	17	32.1	32.1	32.1
	Yes	20	37.7	37.7	69.8
	DK	16	30.2	30.2	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you support public financing for re-development of Downtown Thiensville, including streetscaping?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	12	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Yes	39	73.6	73.6	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County and the Village of Thiensville. For each of the following kinds of land use, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County and the Village of Thiensville.

Making sure that new housing developments include parks and green space or have a park within walking distance..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	11	20.8	20.8	20.8
	Medium priority	13	24.5	24.5	45.3
	High priority	27	50.9	50.9	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Ensuring that adequate land exists for commercial and industrial growth..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	17	32.1	32.1	32.1
	Medium priority	23	43.4	43.4	75.5
	High priority	11	20.8	20.8	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	10	18.9	18.9	18.9
Medium priority	6	11.3	11.3	30.2
High priority	36	67.9	67.9	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Preserving Thiensville's historic small town character and charm..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	3	5.7	5.7	5.7
Medium priority	5	9.4	9.4	15.1
High priority	45	84.9	84.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

What is your opinion about remaining historic buildings in the Village of Thiensville? Should the be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Preserved and enjoyed as part of the heritage for present and future generations	19	35.8	35.8	35.8
A combination of preservation and replacement when structures are beyond repair	33	62.3	62.3	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Would you say our present historic districts within the Village of Thiensville:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Help foster a historic small town character and charm	31	58.5	58.5	58.5
Give the Village a sense of place	10	18.9	18.9	77.4
Serve little or no value	9	17.0	17.0	94.3
DK	3	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Do you think attracting new businesses and new jobs to Ozaukee County should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	8	15.1	15.1	15.1
	Medium priority	14	26.4	26.4	41.5
	High priority	31	58.5	58.5	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:

Manufacturing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	22	41.5	41.5	41.5
	Favor	30	56.6	56.6	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Retail

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	13	24.5	24.5	24.5
	Favor	38	71.7	71.7	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	12	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Favor	40	75.5	75.5	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	13.2	13.2	13.2
	Favor	45	84.9	84.9	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	12	22.6	22.6	22.6
	Favor	41	77.4	77.4	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Transportation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	21	39.6	39.6	39.6
	Favor	30	56.6	56.6	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and Distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	35	66.0	66.0	66.0
	Favor	16	30.2	30.2	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Financial and Insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Favor	37	69.8	69.8	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Favor	36	67.9	67.9	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Arts and Entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	9	17.0	17.0	17.0
	Favor	44	83.0	83.0	100.0
	Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Single family home	38	71.7	71.7	71.7
Condominium	8	15.1	15.1	86.8
Apartment	6	11.3	11.3	98.1
Senior housing	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

	Frequency	Percent
Missing System	53	100.0

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Less than \$30,000	3	5.7	5.7	5.7
At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	8	15.1	15.1	20.8
At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	10	18.9	18.9	39.6
At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	8	15.1	15.1	54.7
\$125,000 or more	9	17.0	17.0	71.7
Refused	13	24.5	24.5	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Female	34	64.2	64.2	64.2
Male	19	35.8	35.8	100.0
Total	53	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

	In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N Valid	51	53	42	53
Missing	2	0	11	0
Mean	1946.73	2.26	.43	22.66
Median	1948.00	2.00	.00	21.00
Mode	1951 ^a	2	0	40

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Town of Grafton Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in the Town of Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Fair	2	3.8	3.8	5.8
Good	20	38.5	38.5	44.2
Excellent	29	55.8	55.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need any more of that type of housing?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	19	36.5	36.5	36.5
A little more	16	30.8	30.8	67.3
A lot more	10	19.2	19.2	86.5
DK	7	13.5	13.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	16	30.8	30.8	30.8
A little more	21	40.4	40.4	71.2
A lot more	8	15.4	15.4	86.5
DK	7	13.5	13.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	30	57.7	57.7	57.7
A little more	12	23.1	23.1	80.8
A lot more	3	5.8	5.8	86.5
DK	7	13.5	13.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	35	67.3	67.3	67.3
A little more	10	19.2	19.2	86.5
A lot more	1	1.9	1.9	88.5
DK	6	11.5	11.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	16	30.8	30.8	30.8
A little more	19	36.5	36.5	67.3
A lot more	15	28.8	28.8	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	35	67.3	67.3	67.3
A little more	11	21.2	21.2	88.5
A lot more	3	5.8	5.8	94.2
DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	33	63.5	63.5	63.5
A little more	14	26.9	26.9	90.4
A lot more	2	3.8	3.8	94.2
DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	35	67.3	67.3	67.3
A little more	12	23.1	23.1	90.4
A lot more	1	1.9	1.9	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in your Town? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots and increased common open space, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means that more land will be used to build new homes.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closer together	11	21.2	21.2	21.2
Larger lots, more land	33	63.5	63.5	84.6
Both	6	11.5	11.5	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development". These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in the Town of Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	4	7.7	7.7	7.7
Oppose	8	15.4	15.4	23.1
Favor	19	36.5	36.5	59.6
Strongly favor	15	28.8	28.8	88.5
Need more information	3	5.8	5.8	94.2
DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around Ozaukee County do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	22	42.3	42.3	42.3
Moderate	24	46.2	46.2	88.5
Heavy	3	5.8	5.8	94.2
Very Heavy	2	3.8	3.8	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County in the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	18	34.6	34.6	34.6
Medium Priority	17	32.7	32.7	67.3
High Priority	12	23.1	23.1	90.4
DK	5	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	17	32.7	32.7	32.7
Medium Priority	21	40.4	40.4	73.1
High Priority	9	17.3	17.3	90.4
DK	5	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	5	9.6	9.6	9.6
	Medium Priority	14	26.9	26.9	36.5
	High Priority	33	63.5	63.5	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	37	71.2	71.2	71.2
	Medium Priority	14	26.9	26.9	98.1
	High Priority	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	18	34.6	34.6	34.6
	Medium Priority	17	32.7	32.7	67.3
	High Priority	16	30.8	30.8	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	18	34.6	34.6	34.6
	Medium Priority	14	26.9	26.9	61.5
	High Priority	20	38.5	38.5	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low Priority	31	59.6	59.6	59.6
	Medium Priority	12	23.1	23.1	82.7
	High Priority	9	17.3	17.3	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	22	42.3	42.3	42.3
Medium Priority	11	21.2	21.2	63.5
High Priority	19	36.5	36.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	29	55.8	55.8	55.8
Yes	23	44.2	44.2	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	21.2	21.2	21.2
Favor	37	71.2	71.2	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor of oppose using county tax dollars to expand the interurban trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	8	15.4	21.6	21.6
Favor	28	53.8	75.7	97.3
DK	1	1.9	2.7	100.0
Total	37	71.2	100.0	
Missing System	15	28.8		
Total	52	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to expand the interurban trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	1	1.9	2.7	2.7
Favor	36	69.2	97.3	100.0
Total	37	71.2	100.0	
Missing System	15	28.8		
Total	52	100.0		

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	22	42.3	42.3	42.3
	Yes	29	55.8	55.8	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	6	11.5	20.7	20.7
	Favor	22	42.3	75.9	96.6
	DK	1	1.9	3.4	100.0
	Total	29	55.8	100.0	
Missing	System	23	44.2		
Total		52	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Favor	29	55.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	23	44.2		
Total		52	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	12	23.1	23.1	23.1
	Favor	35	67.3	67.3	90.4
	Need more information	5	9.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	5	9.6	14.3	14.3
	Favor	29	55.8	82.9	97.1
	Need more information	1	1.9	2.9	100.0
	Total	35	67.3	100.0	
Missing	System	17	32.7		
Total		52	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Favor	35	67.3	100.0	100.0
Missing System	17	32.7		
Total	52	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in the Town of Grafton. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain open spaces?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	11	21.2	21.2	21.2
Medium priority	16	30.8	30.8	51.9
High priority	22	42.3	42.3	94.2
DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	8	15.4	15.4	15.4
Medium priority	12	23.1	23.1	38.5
High priority	29	55.8	55.8	94.2
DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	3	5.8	5.8	5.8
Medium priority	11	21.2	21.2	26.9
High priority	34	65.4	65.4	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Stricter flood control

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	8	15.4	15.4	15.4
Medium priority	12	23.1	23.1	38.5
High priority	22	42.3	42.3	80.8
DK	10	19.2	19.2	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Do you agree or disagree that preservation of farmland in the Town of Grafton is important? Would you say that you.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly disagree	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Disagree	6	11.5	11.5	13.5
Agree	25	48.1	48.1	61.5
Strongly agree	16	30.8	30.8	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you say that preservation of the Ulao Creek Watershed in the Town of Grafton should be a high priority, medium priority, or a low priority?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid low priority	4	7.7	7.7	7.7
medium priority	16	30.8	30.8	38.5
high priority	25	48.1	48.1	86.5
DK	7	13.5	13.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using County tax dollars to preserve the Ulao Creek Watershed in the Town of Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	9	17.3	17.3	17.3
Favor	33	63.5	63.5	80.8
Need more information	6	11.5	11.5	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using Town tax dollars to preserve the Ulao Creek Watershed in the Town of Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	12	23.1	23.1	23.1
Favor	32	61.5	61.5	84.6
Need more information	3	5.8	5.8	90.4
DK	5	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve the Ulao Creek Watershed in the Town of Grafton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Favor	45	86.5	86.5	90.4
Need more information	1	1.9	1.9	92.3
DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate Ozaukee County government efforts to address each of the following issues relating to Lake Michigan? Would you say government efforts are adequate, need some improvement, or need a lot of improvement?

Beach erosion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	17	32.7	32.7	32.7
	Need some improvement	10	19.2	19.2	51.9
	Adequate	10	19.2	19.2	71.2
	DK	15	28.8	28.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Bluff erosion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	19	36.5	36.5	36.5
	Need some improvement	9	17.3	17.3	53.8
	Adequate	8	15.4	15.4	69.2
	DK	16	30.8	30.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Loss of plant and wildlife habitat along the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	13	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Need some improvement	15	28.8	28.8	53.8
	Adequate	9	17.3	17.3	71.2
	DK	15	28.8	28.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Runoff entering the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	19	36.5	36.5	36.5
	Need some improvement	12	23.1	23.1	59.6
	Adequate	9	17.3	17.3	76.9
	DK	12	23.1	23.1	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Public access to the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	14	26.9	26.9	26.9
	Need some improvement	11	21.2	21.2	48.1
	Adequate	20	38.5	38.5	86.5
	DK	7	13.5	13.5	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Current County ordinance requires buildings along Lake Michigan bluffs to be set back 75 feet from the top of the bluff. Because of erosion of the bluffs, Ozaukee County is considering increasing the setback to more than 75 feet. Would you oppose or

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Favor	46	88.5	88.5	92.3
	Need more information	2	3.8	3.8	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Do you own land on Lake Michigan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	51	98.1	98.1	98.1
	Yes	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Below average	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Average	3	5.8	5.8	9.6
	Good	20	38.5	38.5	48.1
	Excellent	15	28.8	28.8	76.9
	DK	12	23.1	23.1	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	3	5.8	5.8	5.8
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	9	17.3	17.3	23.1
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	19	36.5	36.5	59.6
DK	21	40.4	40.4	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

In which public school district do you live?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Grafton School District	44	84.6	84.6	84.6
Port Washington	2	3.8	3.8	88.5
DK	6	11.5	11.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Fair	3	5.8	5.8	7.7
Good	18	34.6	34.6	42.3
Excellent	30	57.7	57.7	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County. For each of the following kinds of land use, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County.

Making sure that new housing developments include parks and green space or have a park within walking distance..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	9.6	9.6	9.6
Medium priority	11	21.2	21.2	30.8
High priority	36	69.2	69.2	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Ensuring that adequate land exists for commercial and industrial growth.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	18	34.6	34.6	34.6
Medium priority	27	51.9	51.9	86.5
High priority	5	9.6	9.6	96.2
DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	7	13.5	13.5	13.5
Medium priority	14	26.9	26.9	40.4
High priority	30	57.7	57.7	98.1
DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Placing a stronger emphasis on identifying and preserving

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	5	9.6	9.6	9.6
Medium priority	21	40.4	40.4	50.0
High priority	26	50.0	50.0	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Preserving Ozaukee County's small town character.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
Medium priority	16	30.8	30.8	32.7
High priority	35	67.3	67.3	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Do you think attracting new businesses and new jobs to Ozaukee County should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	7	13.5	13.5	13.5
Medium priority	25	48.1	48.1	61.5
High priority	20	38.5	38.5	100.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:

Manufacturing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	12	23.1	23.1	23.1
	Favor	36	69.2	69.2	92.3
	DK	4	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Retail

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	13	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Favor	37	71.2	71.2	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	13.5	13.5	13.5
	Favor	44	84.6	84.6	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Favor	47	90.4	90.4	94.2
	DK	3	5.8	5.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Favor	48	92.3	92.3	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and Distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	29	55.8	55.8	55.8
	Favor	21	40.4	40.4	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Financial and Insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	15	28.8	28.8	28.8
	Favor	36	69.2	69.2	98.1
	DK	1	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	14	26.9	26.9	26.9
	Favor	38	73.1	73.1	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Arts and Entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	9	17.3	17.3	17.3
	Favor	43	82.7	82.7	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Single family home	43	82.7	82.7	82.7
	Condominium	3	5.8	5.8	88.5
	Apartment	3	5.8	5.8	94.2
	Duplex	1	1.9	1.9	96.2
	DK	2	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	52	100.0

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	4	7.7	7.7	7.7
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	6	11.5	11.5	19.2
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	9	17.3	17.3	36.5
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	10	19.2	19.2	55.8
	\$125,000 or more	6	11.5	11.5	67.3
	Refused	12	23.1	23.1	90.4
	DK	5	9.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	39	75.0	75.0	75.0
	Male	13	25.0	25.0	100.0
	Total	52	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	49	52	43	52
	Missing	3	0	9	0
Mean		1952.47	2.81	.79	23.50
Median		1950.00	2.00	.00	20.50
Mode		1947	2	0	3 ^a

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Village of Saukville Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Good	31	62.0	62.0	68.0
	Excellent	16	32.0	32.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

The Village of Saukville has been growing at a rate of 1% -2% for several years. At what rate would you like to see future growth occur?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nogrowth	6	12.0	12.0	12.0
	A slower rate of growth	17	34.0	34.0	46.0
	Maintain the current rate of growth	22	44.0	44.0	90.0
	A faster rate of growth	5	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need any more of that type of housing in the Village of Saukville?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nomore	4	8.0	8.0	8.0
	A little more	24	48.0	48.0	56.0
	A lot more	19	38.0	38.0	94.0
	DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nomore	6	12.0	12.0	12.0
	A little more	24	48.0	48.0	60.0
	A lot more	16	32.0	32.0	92.0
	DK	4	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nomore	26	52.0	52.0	52.0
	A little more	15	30.0	30.0	82.0
	A lot more	9	18.0	18.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nomore	33	66.0	66.0	66.0
	A little more	13	26.0	26.0	92.0
	A lot more	4	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Nomore	8	16.0	16.0	16.0
A little more	18	36.0	36.0	52.0
A lot more	24	48.0	48.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Nomore	28	56.0	56.0	56.0
A little more	12	24.0	24.0	80.0
A lot more	10	20.0	20.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Nomore	29	58.0	58.0	58.0
A little more	13	26.0	26.0	84.0
A lot more	8	16.0	16.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Nomore	27	54.0	54.0	54.0
A little more	12	24.0	24.0	78.0
A lot more	9	18.0	18.0	96.0
DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in the Village? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means that more land will be used to build new homes.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closetogether	9	18.0	18.0	18.0
Larger lots, more land	33	66.0	66.0	84.0
Both	3	6.0	6.0	90.0
DK	5	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development". These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	StronglyOppose	2	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Oppose	10	20.0	20.0	24.0
	Favor	28	56.0	56.0	80.0
	Strongly favor	7	14.0	14.0	94.0
	Need more information	1	2.0	2.0	96.0
	DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Should the Village of Saukville invest in its local transportation network, even if it means a raise in property taxes through projects such as:

Intersection signals..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	17	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Yes	33	66.0	66.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

More public parking..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	32	64.0	64.0	64.0
	Yes	18	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

A second bridge over the Milwaukee River..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	8	16.0	16.0	16.0
	Yes	42	84.0	84.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Do you support development of a commuter center at I-43 and STH 33, which would include a park and ride lot, a bus stop, and commuter oriented commercial services such as a child care center, a dry cleaners, a coffee shop, etc...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	22	44.0	44.0	44.0
	Yes	28	56.0	56.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County in the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LowPriority	10	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium Priority	21	42.0	42.0	62.0
High Priority	19	38.0	38.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LowPriority	12	24.0	24.0	24.0
Medium Priority	16	32.0	32.0	56.0
High Priority	22	44.0	44.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LowPriority	4	8.0	8.0	8.0
Medium Priority	15	30.0	30.0	38.0
High Priority	31	62.0	62.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LowPriority	21	42.0	42.0	42.0
Medium Priority	26	52.0	52.0	94.0
High Priority	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LowPriority	12	24.0	24.0	24.0
Medium Priority	18	36.0	36.0	60.0
High Priority	20	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LowPriority	14	28.0	28.0	28.0
	Medium Priority	16	32.0	32.0	60.0
	High Priority	20	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LowPriority	25	50.0	50.0	50.0
	Medium Priority	9	18.0	18.0	68.0
	High Priority	16	32.0	32.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LowPriority	17	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Medium Priority	12	24.0	24.0	58.0
	High Priority	20	40.0	40.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Commuter rail service between Ozaukee County/Village of Saukville and downtown Milwaukee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LowPriority	23	46.0	46.0	46.0
	Medium Priority	10	20.0	20.0	66.0
	High Priority	16	32.0	32.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Commuter rail service between Ozaukee County/Village of Saukville and Mitchell International Airport

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LowPriority	25	50.0	50.0	50.0
	Medium Priority	11	22.0	22.0	72.0
	High Priority	13	26.0	26.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	31	62.0	62.0	62.0
	Yes	19	38.0	38.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail, including more off road trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	24	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Favor	23	46.0	46.0	94.0
	DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor of oppose using county tax dollars to expand the interurban trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	6	12.0	26.1	26.1
	Favor	17	34.0	73.9	100.0
	Total	23	46.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	54.0		
Total		50	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to expand the interurban trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1	2.0	4.3	4.3
	Favor	22	44.0	95.7	100.0
	Total	23	46.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	54.0		
Total		50	100.0		

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	26	52.0	52.0	52.0
	Yes	21	42.0	42.0	94.0
	DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	3	6.0	14.3	14.3
	Favor	18	36.0	85.7	100.0
	Total	21	42.0	100.0	
Missing	System	29	58.0		
Total		50	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop countywide trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Favor	21	42.0	100.0	100.0
	System	29	58.0		
Total		50	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County? The funds could be used only to buy and preserve natural areas.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	9	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Favor	39	78.0	78.0	96.0
	Need more information	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total		50	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	8	16.0	20.5	20.5
	Favor	31	62.0	79.5	100.0
	Total	39	78.0	100.0	
Missing	System	11	22.0		
Total		50	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	4.0	5.1	5.1
	Favor	37	74.0	94.9	100.0
	Total	39	78.0	100.0	
Missing	System	11	22.0		
Total		50	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in Ozaukee County and the Village of Saukville. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	10	20.0	20.0	20.0
Medium priority	31	62.0	62.0	82.0
High priority	9	18.0	18.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	21	42.0	42.0	42.0
Medium priority	22	44.0	44.0	86.0
High priority	7	14.0	14.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
Medium priority	9	18.0	18.0	28.0
High priority	36	72.0	72.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
Medium priority	12	24.0	24.0	34.0
High priority	33	66.0	66.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Create stricter regulations for non-metallic mining in gravel pits and stone quarries?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	11	22.0	22.0	22.0
Medium priority	15	30.0	30.0	52.0
High priority	22	44.0	44.0	96.0
DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	1	2.0	2.0	2.0
Medium priority	10	20.0	20.0	22.0
High priority	39	78.0	78.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	4	8.0	8.0	8.0
Medium priority	8	16.0	16.0	24.0
High priority	38	76.0	76.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river corridors ?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
Medium priority	7	14.0	14.0	20.0
High priority	40	80.0	80.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Preserving farmland?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Medium priority	20	40.0	40.0	44.0
High priority	28	56.0	56.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

If the Village of Saukville were to spend more maintaining existing parks or to create new parks, would you rather see these costs added to your property tax bill or prefer increased maintenance of existing parks and development of new parks be financed by user fees?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Taxes	13	26.0	26.0	26.0
User fees	28	56.0	56.0	82.0
Need more information	6	12.0	12.0	94.0
DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Below average	1	2.0	2.0	2.0
Average	3	6.0	6.0	8.0
Good	14	28.0	28.0	36.0
Excellent	16	32.0	32.0	68.0
DK	16	32.0	32.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	12	24.0	24.0	30.0
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	12	24.0	24.0	54.0
DK	23	46.0	46.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Please indicate whether you are very satisfied, satisfied, unsatisfied, or very unsatisfied with the following Village of Saukville municipal services.

Fire department

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Satisfied	30	60.0	60.0	60.0
Very satisfied	18	36.0	36.0	96.0
DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Police department

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Unsatisfied	2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Satisfied	28	56.0	56.0	60.0
Very satisfied	19	38.0	38.0	98.0
DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Library

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unsatisfied	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Satisfied	27	54.0	54.0	60.0
	Very satisfied	18	36.0	36.0	96.0
	DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Water Utility

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Veryunsatisfied	1	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Unsatisfied	5	10.0	10.0	12.0
	Satisfied	26	52.0	52.0	64.0
	Very satisfied	15	30.0	30.0	94.0
	DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Public Works (snow plowing, street and park maintenance, municipal yard, etc...)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Veryunsatisfied	1	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Unsatisfied	4	8.0	8.0	10.0
	Satisfied	30	60.0	60.0	70.0
	Very satisfied	14	28.0	28.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Fair	5	10.0	10.0	20.0
	Good	20	40.0	40.0	60.0
	Excellent	20	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County. For each of the following kinds of land use, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County.

Making sure that new housing developments include parks and green space or have a park within walking distance..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	7	14.0	14.0	14.0
Medium priority	17	34.0	34.0	48.0
High priority	25	50.0	50.0	98.0
DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Ensuring that adequate land exists for future commercial and industrial growth..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	8	16.0	16.0	16.0
Medium priority	27	54.0	54.0	70.0
High priority	15	30.0	30.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	9	18.0	18.0	18.0
Medium priority	21	42.0	42.0	60.0
High priority	20	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Placing a stronger emphasis on identifying and preserving historic buildings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Lowpriority	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
Medium priority	16	32.0	32.0	38.0
High priority	30	60.0	60.0	98.0
DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Preserving Ozaukee County's small town character..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Medium priority	9	18.0	18.0	18.0
High priority	41	82.0	82.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

A balanced tax rate is an important element in controlling taxes over time. Keeping this statement in mind, are you satisfied with the existing ratio of manufacturing-commercial-residential uses in the Village of Saukville?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Satisfied	45	90.0	90.0	90.0
DK	5	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

If you are unsatisfied, which use would you like to see an increased ratio in?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Manufacturing	1	2.0	20.0	20.0
Residential	1	2.0	20.0	40.0
DK	3	6.0	60.0	100.0
Total	5	10.0	100.0	
Missing System	45	90.0		
Total	50	100.0		

Should the Village of Saukville invest in specific projects to encourage new development, even if it means a short term raise in your property taxes, if your property tax rate were to decrease in the long term?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	17	34.0	34.0	34.0
Yes	30	60.0	60.0	94.0
Need more information	1	2.0	2.0	96.0
DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:

Manufacturing

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	10	20.0	20.0	20.0
Favor	39	78.0	78.0	98.0
DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Retail

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	22.0	22.0	22.0
Favor	38	76.0	76.0	98.0
DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	7	14.0	14.0	14.0
	Favor	42	84.0	84.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	3	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Favor	47	94.0	94.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	4	8.0	8.0	8.0
	Favor	45	90.0	90.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	20	40.0	40.0	40.0
	Favor	28	56.0	56.0	96.0
	DK	2	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Financial and insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	8	16.0	16.0	16.0
	Favor	42	84.0	84.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Favor	45	90.0	90.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Arts and entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Favor	44	88.0	88.0	98.0
	DK	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Single family home	31	62.0	62.0	62.0
	Condominium	2	4.0	4.0	66.0
	Apartment	10	20.0	20.0	86.0
	Duplex	6	12.0	12.0	98.0
	Senior housing	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	50	100.0

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	5	10.0	10.0	10.0
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	13	26.0	26.0	36.0
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	14	28.0	28.0	64.0
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	11	22.0	22.0	86.0
	Refused	4	8.0	8.0	94.0
	DK	3	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	17	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Female	33	66.0	66.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	49	50	38	50
	Missing	1	0	12	0
Mean		1957.69	2.66	.76	24.78
Median		1958.00	2.00	.00	22.00
Mode		1958	2	0	30

Village of Belgium Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	18	8.3	8.3	8.3
	Good	146	67.3	67.3	75.6
	Excellent	48	22.1	22.1	97.7
	DK	5	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need any more of that type of housing?

..Assisted living housing for seniors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	35	16.1	16.1	16.1
	A little more	116	53.5	53.5	69.6
	A lot more	29	13.4	13.4	82.9
	DK	37	17.1	17.1	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Independent living housing for seniors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	28	12.9	12.9	12.9
	A little more	114	52.5	52.5	65.4
	A lot more	32	14.7	14.7	80.2
	DK	43	19.8	19.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	128	59.0	59.0	59.0
	A little more	46	21.2	21.2	80.2
	A lot more	9	4.1	4.1	84.3
	DK	34	15.7	15.7	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury multi-family apartments?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	121	55.8	55.8	55.8
	A little more	58	26.7	26.7	82.5
	A lot more	3	1.4	1.4	83.9
	DK	35	16.1	16.1	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Moderately priced single-family homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	31	14.3	14.3	14.3
	A little more	120	55.3	55.3	69.6
	A lot more	47	21.7	21.7	91.2
	DK	19	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Luxury single-family homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	80	36.9	36.9	36.9
	A little more	85	39.2	39.2	76.0
	A lot more	20	9.2	9.2	85.3
	DK	32	14.7	14.7	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Condominiums?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No more	97	44.7	44.7	44.7
	A little more	83	38.2	38.2	82.9
	A lot more	8	3.7	3.7	86.6
	DK	29	13.4	13.4	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Duplexes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	129	59.4	59.4	59.4
A little more	46	21.2	21.2	80.6
A lot more	6	2.8	2.8	83.4
DK	36	16.6	16.6	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in the Village? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means that more land will be used to build new homes.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closer together	12	5.5	5.5	5.5
Larger lots, more land	87	40.1	40.1	45.6
Both	85	39.2	39.2	84.8
DK	33	15.2	15.2	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development". These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	20	9.2	9.2	9.2
Oppose	47	21.7	21.7	30.9
Favor	79	36.4	36.4	67.3
Strongly favor	12	5.5	5.5	72.8
Need more information	33	15.2	15.2	88.0
DK	26	12.0	12.0	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around Ozaukee County do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	60	27.6	27.6	27.6
Moderate	147	67.7	67.7	95.4
Heavy	6	2.8	2.8	98.2
Very Heavy	1	.5	.5	98.6
DK	3	1.4	1.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee County in the future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	82	37.8	37.8	37.8
Medium Priority	66	30.4	30.4	68.2
High Priority	20	9.2	9.2	77.4
DK	49	22.6	22.6	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	83	38.2	38.2	38.2
Medium Priority	63	29.0	29.0	67.3
High Priority	19	8.8	8.8	76.0
DK	52	24.0	24.0	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Maintaining existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	7	3.2	3.2	3.2
Medium Priority	87	40.1	40.1	43.3
High Priority	112	51.6	51.6	94.9
DK	11	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Widening existing streets and highways?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	120	55.3	55.3	55.3
Medium Priority	63	29.0	29.0	84.3
High Priority	12	5.5	5.5	89.9
DK	22	10.1	10.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	62	28.6	28.6	28.6
Medium Priority	100	46.1	46.1	74.7
High Priority	32	14.7	14.7	89.4
DK	23	10.6	10.6	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..More bike paths and bike lanes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	105	48.4	48.4	48.4
Medium Priority	67	30.9	30.9	79.3
High Priority	18	8.3	8.3	87.6
DK	27	12.4	12.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..More sidewalks?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	121	55.8	55.8	55.8
Medium Priority	47	21.7	21.7	77.4
High Priority	26	12.0	12.0	89.4
DK	23	10.6	10.6	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	93	42.9	42.9	42.9
Medium Priority	65	30.0	30.0	72.8
High Priority	30	13.8	13.8	86.6
DK	29	13.4	13.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	117	53.9	53.9	53.9
Yes	100	46.1	46.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	41	18.9	18.9	18.9
Favor	84	38.7	38.7	57.6
DK	92	42.4	42.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to expand the interurban trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	20	9.2	23.8	23.8
	Favor	51	23.5	60.7	84.5
	DK	13	6.0	15.5	100.0
	Total	84	38.7	100.0	
Missing	System	133	61.3		
Total		217	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to expand the interurban trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2	.9	2.4	2.4
	Favor	79	36.4	94.0	96.4
	DK	3	1.4	3.6	100.0
	Total	84	38.7	100.0	
Missing	System	133	61.3		
Total		217	100.0		

Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	67	30.9	30.9	30.9
	Yes	79	36.4	36.4	67.3
	DK	71	32.7	32.7	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	23	10.6	29.1	29.1
	Favor	34	15.7	43.0	72.2
	DK	22	10.1	27.8	100.0
	Total	79	36.4	100.0	
Missing	System	138	63.6		
Total		217	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	3	1.4	3.8	3.8
	Favor	71	32.7	89.9	93.7
	DK	5	2.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	79	36.4	100.0	
Missing	System	138	63.6		
Total		217	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County? The funds could be used only to buy and preserve natural areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	42	19.4	19.4	19.4
	Favor	110	50.7	50.7	70.0
	Need more information	2	.9	.9	71.0
	DK	63	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	16	7.4	14.5	14.5
	Favor	47	21.7	42.7	57.3
	Need more information	37	17.1	33.6	90.9
	DK	10	4.6	9.1	100.0
	Total	110	50.7	100.0	
Missing	System	107	49.3		
	Total	217	100.0		

Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Favor	99	45.6	90.0	90.0
	Need more information	7	3.2	6.4	96.4
	DK	4	1.8	3.6	100.0
	Total	110	50.7	100.0	
Missing	System	107	49.3		
	Total	217	100.0		

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in Ozaukee County. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	58	26.7	26.7	26.7
	Medium priority	113	52.1	52.1	78.8
	High priority	29	13.4	13.4	92.2
	DK	17	7.8	7.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	96	44.2	44.2	44.2
Medium priority	90	41.5	41.5	85.7
High priority	13	6.0	6.0	91.7
DK	18	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for water quality?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	37	17.1	17.1	17.1
Medium priority	92	42.4	42.4	59.4
High priority	69	31.8	31.8	91.2
DK	19	8.8	8.8	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	44	20.3	20.3	20.3
Medium priority	50	23.0	23.0	43.3
High priority	49	22.6	22.6	65.9
DK	74	34.1	34.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Create stricter regulations for non-metallic mining?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	72	33.2	33.2	33.2
Medium priority	61	28.1	28.1	61.3
High priority	47	21.7	21.7	82.9
DK	37	17.1	17.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preserving existing woodlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	29	13.4	13.4	13.4
Medium priority	77	35.5	35.5	48.8
High priority	92	42.4	42.4	91.2
DK	19	8.8	8.8	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preserving wetlands?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	37	17.1	17.1	17.1
Medium priority	75	34.6	34.6	51.6
High priority	85	39.2	39.2	90.8
DK	20	9.2	9.2	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preserving river corridors ?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	35	16.1	16.1	16.1
Medium priority	73	33.6	33.6	49.8
High priority	85	39.2	39.2	88.9
DK	24	11.1	11.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preserving farmland?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	34	15.7	15.7	15.7
Medium priority	81	37.3	37.3	53.0
High priority	81	37.3	37.3	90.3
DK	21	9.7	9.7	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate Ozaukee County government efforts Lake Michigan? Would you say government efforts are adequate, need some improvement, or need a lot of improvement?

Beach and bluff erosion?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Need a lot of improvement	34	15.7	15.7	15.7
Need some improvement	90	41.5	41.5	57.1
Adequate	36	16.6	16.6	73.7
DK	57	26.3	26.3	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preservation of plant and wildlife habitat along the Lake?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Need a lot of improvement	23	10.6	10.6	10.6
Need some improvement	83	38.2	38.2	48.8
Adequate	58	26.7	26.7	75.6
DK	53	24.4	24.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Runoff entering the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	51	23.5	23.5	23.5
	Need some improvement	73	33.6	33.6	57.1
	Adequate	38	17.5	17.5	74.7
	DK	55	25.3	25.3	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Public access to the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	44	20.3	20.3	20.3
	Need some improvement	85	39.2	39.2	59.4
	Adequate	53	24.4	24.4	83.9
	DK	35	16.1	16.1	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Monitoring beach water quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	46	21.2	21.2	21.2
	Need some improvement	80	36.9	36.9	58.1
	Adequate	45	20.7	20.7	78.8
	DK	46	21.2	21.2	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Forest and woodland protection along the lake?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	26	12.0	12.0	12.0
	Need some improvement	83	38.2	38.2	50.2
	Adequate	57	26.3	26.3	76.5
	DK	51	23.5	23.5	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Current County ordinance requires buildings along Lake Michigan bluffs to be set back 75 feet from bluffs, Ozaukee County is considering increasing the setback to more than 75 feet. Would you oppose or favor a greater bluff setback requirement?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	3	1.4	1.4	1.4
	Favor	136	62.7	62.7	64.1
	Need more information	33	15.2	15.2	79.3
	DK	45	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Do you own land on Lake Michigan?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	209	96.3	96.3	96.3
Yes	2	.9	.9	97.2
DK	6	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	3	1.4	1.4	1.4
Below average	7	3.2	3.2	4.6
Average	32	14.7	14.7	19.4
Good	64	29.5	29.5	48.8
Excellent	27	12.4	12.4	61.3
DK	84	38.7	38.7	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	14	6.5	6.5	6.5
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	41	18.9	18.9	25.3
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	57	26.3	26.3	51.6
DK	105	48.4	48.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

In which public school district do you live?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Cedarburg School District	1	.5	.5	.5
Cedar Grove - Belgium School District	204	94.0	94.0	94.5
Northern Ozaukee School District	4	1.8	1.8	96.3
Port Washington	1	.5	.5	96.8
DK	7	3.2	3.2	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	10	4.6	4.6	4.6
Fair	49	22.6	22.6	27.2
Good	107	49.3	49.3	76.5
Excellent	36	16.6	16.6	93.1
DK	15	6.9	6.9	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County. For each of the following kinds of land use, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County.

Making sure that new housing developments include parks and greenspace or have a park within walking distance..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	46	21.2	21.2	21.2
Medium priority	83	38.2	38.2	59.4
High priority	67	30.9	30.9	90.3
DK	21	9.7	9.7	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Ensuring that adequate land exists for future commercial and industrial growth..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	47	21.7	21.7	21.7
Medium priority	103	47.5	47.5	69.1
High priority	50	23.0	23.0	92.2
DK	17	7.8	7.8	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	53	24.4	24.4	24.4
Medium priority	69	31.8	31.8	56.2
High priority	68	31.3	31.3	87.6
DK	27	12.4	12.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Placing a stronger emphasis on identifying and preserving historic buildings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	82	37.8	37.8	37.8
Medium priority	75	34.6	34.6	72.4
High priority	43	19.8	19.8	92.2
DK	17	7.8	7.8	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Preserving Ozaukee County's small town character..

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	24	11.1	11.1	11.1
Medium priority	75	34.6	34.6	45.6
High priority	107	49.3	49.3	94.9
DK	11	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Do you think attracting new businesses and new jobs to Ozaukee County should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	21	9.7	9.7	9.7
Medium priority	81	37.3	37.3	47.0
High priority	101	46.5	46.5	93.5
DK	14	6.5	6.5	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following..

Manufacturing

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	25	11.5	11.5	11.5
Favor	150	69.1	69.1	80.6
DK	42	19.4	19.4	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Retail

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	17	7.8	7.8	7.8
Favor	167	77.0	77.0	84.8
DK	33	15.2	15.2	100.0
Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Tourism

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	20	9.2	9.2	9.2
	Favor	141	65.0	65.0	74.2
	DK	56	25.8	25.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Small scale farming

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	10	4.6	4.6	4.6
	Favor	153	70.5	70.5	75.1
	DK	54	24.9	24.9	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Information technology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	22	10.1	10.1	10.1
	Favor	125	57.6	57.6	67.7
	DK	70	32.3	32.3	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Warehousing and distribution

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	56	25.8	25.8	25.8
	Favor	101	46.5	46.5	72.4
	DK	60	27.6	27.6	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Financial and insurance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	29	13.4	13.4	13.4
	Favor	121	55.8	55.8	69.1
	DK	67	30.9	30.9	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Health care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	11	5.1	5.1	5.1
	Favor	172	79.3	79.3	84.3
	DK	34	15.7	15.7	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Arts and entertainment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	26	12.0	12.0	12.0
	Favor	134	61.8	61.8	73.7
	DK	57	26.3	26.3	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Farm	4	1.8	1.8	1.8
	Single family home	180	82.9	82.9	84.8
	Condominium	12	5.5	5.5	90.3
	Apartment	8	3.7	3.7	94.0
	Duplex	8	3.7	3.7	97.7
	Other	1	.5	.5	98.2
	DK	4	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working	2	.9	50.0	50.0
	Hobby	1	.5	25.0	75.0
	Inactive	1	.5	25.0	100.0
	Total	4	1.8	100.0	
Missing	System	213	98.2		
Total		217	100.0		

Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	33	15.2	15.2	15.2
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	42	19.4	19.4	34.6
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	63	29.0	29.0	63.6
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	57	26.3	26.3	89.9
	\$125,000 or more	3	1.4	1.4	91.2
	Refused	3	1.4	1.4	92.6
	DK	16	7.4	7.4	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

INTERVIEWER RECORD GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	111	51.2	51.2	51.2
	Female	106	48.8	48.8	100.0
	Total	217	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	217	217	179	217
	Missing	0	0	38	0
Mean		1951.76	2.67	.87	28.68
Median		1953.00	2.00	.00	25.00
Mode		1951	2	0	2