

Appendix S

Table S-1

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY OF MEQUON: 2006^a

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0.0
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	6	0.07
Average	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	979	12.0
Fair	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	78	1.0
Good	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4,418	54.0
Very Good/ Excellent	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2,694	33.0
Total	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	8,175	100.0

^aHousing units in the City of Mequon were not provided by structure type.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

Source: City of Mequon and SEWRPC.

Table S-2

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY OF PORT WASHINGTON: 2006

Score ^c	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^b			
	Number	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number ^f	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number	Percent ^d
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	11	0.3	1	-- ^g	1	-- ^g	--	--	13	0.4
Average	1,958	56.2	207	6.0	410	11.8	215	6.2	2,790	80.1
Fair	86	2.5	47	1.3	--	--	2	0.1	135	3.9
Good	426	12.2	34	1.0	1	-- ^g	4	0.1	465	13.3
Very Good/ Excellent	64	1.9	4	0.1	6	0.2	5	0.1	79	2.3
Total	2,545	73.1	293	8.4	418	12.0	226	6.5	3,482	100.0

^aThe multi-family category includes condominiums and three unit buildings.

^bThe other category includes buildings with four or more units and commercial buildings with an accessory housing unit.

^cThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^dPercent of total housing units.

^eNumber refers to the main building, not individual units.

^fNumber refers to individual housing units for condominiums and to the main building for three-unit buildings.

^gLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Matthies Assessments Inc., and SEWRPC.

Note: Local governments were asked to obtain housing condition information from their assessor and provide the information to SEWRPC. Data was not received from the Village of Belgium as of February 20, 2008.

Table S-3

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF BELGIUM: 2006

Score ^d	Condition								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-family		Three-Family		Four-Family			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Average	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fair	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Good	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Very Good/ Excellent	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Planning area ^g	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table S-4

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF FREDONIA: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	1	0.2	--	--	2	0.3	3	0.5
Average	482	75.3	24	3.7	--	--	29	4.5	535	83.5
Fair	10	1.6	2	0.3	1	0.2	--	--	13	2.1
Good	73	11.4	4	0.6	--	--	3	0.5	80	12.5
Very Good/ Excellent	8	1.2	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	9	1.4
Total	573	89.5	32	5.0	1	0.2	34	5.3	640	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grota Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-5

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF GRAFTON: 2006

Score ^c	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^b			
	Number	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number ^f	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number	Percent ^d
Unsound	3	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	0.1
Poor/Very Poor	2	-- ^g	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	-- ^g
Average	2,283	63.8	126	3.5	720	20.1	48	1.3	3,177	88.8
Fair	50	1.4	13	0.4	--	--	--	--	63	1.8
Good	278	7.8	18	0.5	--	--	4	0.1	300	8.4
Very Good/ Excellent	31	0.9	1	-- ^g	--	--	--	--	32	0.9
Total	2,647	74.0	158	4.4	720	20.1	52	1.4	3,577	100.0

^aThe multi-family category includes condominiums and three unit buildings.

^bThe other category includes buildings with four or more units and commercial buildings with an accessory housing unit.

^cThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^dPercent of total housing units.

^eNumber refers to the main building, not individual units.

^fNumber refers to individual housing units for condominiums and to the main building for three-unit buildings.

^gLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Matthies Assessments Inc., and SEWRPC.

Table S-6

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF NEWBURG: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	5	1.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	5	1.4
Average	211	57.8	59	16.2	2	0.5	38	10.4	310	84.9
Fair	2	0.5	1	0.3	--	--	3	0.8	6	1.6
Good	27	7.4	1	0.3	--	--	1	0.3	29	8.0
Very Good/ Excellent	13	3.6	2	0.5	--	--	--	--	15	4.1
Total	258	70.7	63	17.3	2	0.5	42	11.5	365	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotta Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-7

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF SAUKVILLE: 2006^a

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	6	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	6	0.3
Average	1,452	75.0	237	12.2	--	--	--	--	1,689	87.2
Fair	125	6.5	4	0.2	--	--	--	--	129	6.7
Good	106	5.5	5	0.3	--	--	--	--	111	5.7
Very Good/ Excellent	1	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.05
Total	1,690	87.3	246	12.7	--	--	--	--	1,936	100.0

^aThe assessor has categorized all housing units in the Village as single-family or two-family.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

Source: Accurate Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-8

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF THIENSVILLE: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	5	0.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	5	0.4
Average	627	52.5	12	1.0	--	--	264	22.1	903	75.6
Fair	35	2.9	2	0.2	--	--	2	0.2	39	3.3
Good	167	14.0	9	0.7	1	0.1	31	2.6	208	17.4
Very Good/ Excellent	31	2.6	--	--	--	--	8	0.7	39	3.3
Total	865	72.4	23	1.9	1	0.1	305	25.6	1,194	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grota Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-9

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF BELGIUM: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	7	1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	7	1.1
Average	447	68.0	11	1.7	2	0.3	4	0.6	464	70.6
Fair	25	3.8	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	26	4.0
Good	125	19.0	3	0.4	--	--	2	0.3	130	19.7
Very Good/ Excellent	29	4.4	--	--	--	--	1	0.2	30	4.6
Total	633	96.3	15	2.3	2	0.3	7	1.1	657	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-10

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF CEDARBURG: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	3	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	0.1
Poor/Very Poor	4	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	0.2
Average	1,843	88.6	12	0.6	1	-- ^e	11	0.5	1,867	89.8
Fair	29	1.4	1	-- ^e	--	--	--	--	30	1.4
Good	118	5.7	5	0.2	1	-- ^e	2	0.1	126	6.1
Very Good/ Excellent	47	2.3	1	-- ^e	--	--	2	0.1	50	2.4
Total	2,044	98.3	19	0.9	2	0.1	15	0.7	2,080	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

^eLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-11

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF FREDONIA: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	4	0.5	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	5	0.6
Average	543	69.1	7	0.9	3	0.4	15	1.9	568	72.3
Fair	27	3.4	3	0.4	--	--	3	0.4	33	4.2
Good	137	17.4	6	0.8	--	--	--	--	143	18.2
Very Good/ Excellent	35	4.5	1	0.1	--	--	1	0.1	37	4.7
Total	746	94.9	18	2.3	3	0.4	19	2.4	786	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-12

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF GRAFTON: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	7	0.5	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	8	0.5
Average	1,187	80.1	26	1.7	--	--	14	1.0	1,227	82.8
Fair	47	3.2	1	0.1	--	--	2	0.1	50	3.4
Good	148	10.0	7	0.5	--	--	5	0.3	160	10.8
Very Good/ Excellent	35	2.4	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	36	2.5
Total	1,424	96.2	36	2.4	--	--	21	1.4	1,481	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing conditions scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-13

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF PORT WASHINGTON: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2
Poor/Very Poor	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.3
Average	415	69.0	30	5.0	1	0.2	--	--	446	74.2
Fair	19	3.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	19	3.2
Good	132	21.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	132	21.9
Very Good/ Excellent	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2
Total	570	94.8	30	5.0	1	0.2	--	--	601	100.0

^aMulti-family are three unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on pages 5 and 6 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Magnan Assessment Services and SEWRPC.

Table S-14

HOUSING CONDITION IN TOWN OF SAUKVILLE: 2006

Rating	Condition								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-family		Three-Family		Four-Family		Number	Percent ^a
	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a	Percent ^a	Percent ^a		
Unsound	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.3
Poor/Very Poor	10	1.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	10	1.4
Average	51	7.3	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	53	7.6
Fair	238	34.3	3	0.4	--	--	--	--	241	34.8
Good	269	38.8	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	270	38.9
Very Good/ Excellent	118	17.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	118	17.0
Total	688	99.1	6	0.9	0	0	0	0	694	100.0

^aPercent of total housing units.

Source: Town of Saukville and SEWRPC.