

# Ozaukee County Survey Results

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Fair	16	3.6	3.6	3.6
Good	209	47.5	47.5	51.1
Excellent	212	48.2	48.2	99.3
DK	3	.7	.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

For each of the following types of housing would you say we need a lot more, a little more, or we don't need anymore of that type of housing?

**..Assisted living housing for seniors?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	99	22.5	22.5	22.5
A little more	194	44.1	44.1	66.6
A lot more	86	19.5	19.5	86.1
DK	61	13.9	13.9	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Independent living housing for seniors?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	88	20.0	20.0	20.0
A little more	194	44.1	44.1	64.1
A lot more	96	21.8	21.8	85.9
DK	62	14.1	14.1	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Moderately priced multi-family apartments?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	219	49.8	49.8	49.8
A little more	121	27.5	27.5	77.3
A lot more	65	14.8	14.8	92.0
DK	35	8.0	8.0	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Luxury multi-family apartments?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	329	74.8	74.8	74.8
A little more	64	14.5	14.5	89.3
A lot more	15	3.4	3.4	92.7
DK	32	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Moderately priced single-family homes?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	76	17.3	17.3	17.3
A little more	172	39.1	39.1	56.4
A lot more	172	39.1	39.1	95.5
DK	20	4.5	4.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Luxury single-family homes?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	301	68.4	68.4	68.4
A little more	96	21.8	21.8	90.2
A lot more	23	5.2	5.2	95.5
DK	20	4.5	4.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Condominiums?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	253	57.5	57.5	57.5
A little more	131	29.8	29.8	87.3
A lot more	24	5.5	5.5	92.7
DK	32	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Duplexes?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No more	240	54.5	54.5	54.5
A little more	127	28.9	28.9	83.4
A lot more	29	6.6	6.6	90.0
DK	44	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Which of the following statements best describes your opinion about residential development in your community? We should plan new residential areas with smaller lots, even if it means that homes have to be built closer together. Or, we should plan new residential areas with larger lots, even if it means more land will be to used to build new homes.**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Smaller lots, closer together	126	28.6	28.6	28.6
Larger lots, more land	236	53.6	53.6	82.3
Both	53	12.0	12.0	94.3
DK	25	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

Some communities are using "conservation subdivisions" or "cluster development." These subdivisions typically have smaller lots and common open space, and natural features of the land are maintained as much as possible. Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose these subdivisions in Ozaukee County?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Oppose	21	4.8	4.8	4.8
Oppose	84	19.1	19.1	23.9
Favor	216	49.1	49.1	73.0
Strongly favor	88	20.0	20.0	93.0
Need more information	15	3.4	3.4	96.4
DK	16	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

When you travel around Ozaukee County do you usually find traffic to be:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Light	108	24.5	24.5	24.5
Moderate	260	59.1	59.1	83.6
Heavy	56	12.7	12.7	96.4
Very Heavy	10	2.3	2.3	98.6
DK	6	1.4	1.4	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for transportation investments in Ozaukee in future. For each of the following transportation options, please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.

..More buses between Ozaukee and Milwaukee Counties?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	132	30.0	30.0	30.0
Medium Priority	152	34.5	34.5	64.5
High Priority	131	29.8	29.8	94.3
DK	25	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

..Other types of public transportation like shared taxis?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	136	30.9	30.9	30.9
Medium Priority	156	35.5	35.5	66.4
High Priority	116	26.4	26.4	92.7
DK	32	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Maintaining existing streets and highways?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	23	5.2	5.2	5.2
Medium Priority	117	26.6	26.6	31.8
High Priority	298	67.7	67.7	99.5
DK	2	.5	.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Widening existing streets and highways?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	235	53.4	53.4	53.4
Medium Priority	136	30.9	30.9	84.3
High Priority	62	14.1	14.1	98.4
DK	7	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..Minor street improvements such as adding turn lanes and signals?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	132	30.0	30.0	30.0
Medium Priority	174	39.5	39.5	69.5
High Priority	127	28.9	28.9	98.4
DK	7	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..More bike paths and bike lanes?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	141	32.0	32.0	32.0
Medium Priority	124	28.2	28.2	60.2
High Priority	167	38.0	38.0	98.2
DK	8	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..More sidewalks?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	226	51.4	51.4	51.4
Medium Priority	92	20.9	20.9	72.3
High Priority	109	24.8	24.8	97.0
DK	13	3.0	3.0	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**..More pedestrian trails providing access to public open space?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low Priority	137	31.1	31.1	31.1
Medium Priority	135	30.7	30.7	61.8
High Priority	158	35.9	35.9	97.7
DK	10	2.3	2.3	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**The Ozaukee Interurban Trail is a 30 mile paved trail that runs the length of Ozaukee County from the City of Mequon to the Town of Belgium. The trail is intended for year round use for biking, walking, inline skating, and cross country skiing. Do you use the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	205	46.6	46.6	46.6
Yes	233	53.0	53.0	99.5
DK	2	.5	.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Do you favor or oppose expanding the Interurban Trail?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	96	21.8	21.8	21.8
Favor	299	68.0	68.0	89.8
DK	45	10.2	10.2	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Would you favor of oppose using county tax dollars to expand the interurban trail?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	61	13.9	20.4	20.4
Favor	229	52.0	76.6	97.0
DK	9	2.0	3.0	100.0
Total	299	68.0	100.0	
Missing System	141	32.0		
Total	440	100.0		

**Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to expand the interurban trail?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	11	2.5	3.7	3.7
Favor	285	64.8	95.3	99.0
DK	3	.7	1.0	100.0
Total	299	68.0	100.0	
Missing System	141	32.0		
Total	440	100.0		

**Would you support development of a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails, in addition to the Ozaukee Interurban Trail?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	121	27.5	27.5	27.5
	Yes	287	65.2	65.2	92.7
	DK	32	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to develop a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	74	16.8	25.8	25.8
	Favor	200	45.5	69.7	95.5
	DK	13	3.0	4.5	100.0
	Total	287	65.2	100.0	
Missing	System	153	34.8		
Total		440	100.0		

**Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to develop a countywide network of bike and pedestrian trails?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	5	1.1	1.7	1.7
	Favor	280	63.6	97.6	99.3
	DK	2	.5	.7	100.0
	Total	287	65.2	100.0	
Missing	System	153	34.8		
Total		440	100.0		

The next few questions are about the natural environment in Ozaukee County.

**Would you favor or oppose having Ozaukee County create a dedicated fund to buy and preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County? The funds could be used only to buy and preserve natural areas.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	87	19.8	19.8	19.8
	Favor	313	71.1	71.1	90.9
	Need more information	26	5.9	5.9	96.8
	DK	14	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Would you favor or oppose using county tax dollars to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	57	13.0	18.2	18.2
	Favor	236	53.6	75.4	93.6
	Need more information	19	4.3	6.1	99.7
	DK	1	.2	.3	100.0
	Total	313	71.1	100.0	
Missing	System	127	28.9		
Total		440	100.0		

**Would you favor or oppose using private donations and state and federal grants to preserve natural areas in Ozaukee County?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	13	3.0	4.2	4.2
	Favor	298	67.7	95.2	99.4
	DK	2	.5	.6	100.0
	Total	313	71.1	100.0	
Missing	System	127	28.9		
Total		440	100.0		

**We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for preserving or improving the natural environment in Ozaukee County. For each of the following please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority.**

**Spending more to maintain existing parks and open spaces?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	60	13.6	13.6	13.6
	Medium priority	222	50.5	50.5	64.1
	High priority	152	34.5	34.5	98.6
	DK	6	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Spending more to create new parks and open spaces?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	148	33.6	33.6	33.6
	Medium priority	202	45.9	45.9	79.5
	High priority	85	19.3	19.3	98.9
	DK	5	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Creating stricter regulations for water quality?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	61	13.9	13.9	13.9
	Medium priority	97	22.0	22.0	35.9
	High priority	270	61.4	61.4	97.3
	DK	12	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Creating stricter regulations for flood control and storm water?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	63	14.3	14.3	14.3
	Medium priority	132	30.0	30.0	44.3
	High priority	220	50.0	50.0	94.3
	DK	25	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Create stricter regulations for non-metallic mining?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	122	27.7	27.7	27.7
	Medium priority	95	21.6	21.6	49.3
	High priority	167	38.0	38.0	87.3
	DK	56	12.7	12.7	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preserving existing woodlands?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	22	5.0	5.0	5.0
	Medium priority	116	26.4	26.4	31.4
	High priority	295	67.0	67.0	98.4
	DK	7	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preserving wetlands?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low priority	42	9.5	9.5	9.5
	Medium priority	109	24.8	24.8	34.3
	High priority	281	63.9	63.9	98.2
	DK	8	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preserving river corridors ?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	28	6.4	6.4	6.4
Medium priority	119	27.0	27.0	33.4
High priority	276	62.7	62.7	96.1
DK	17	3.9	3.9	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preserving farmland?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	45	10.2	10.2	10.2
Medium priority	134	30.5	30.5	40.7
High priority	250	56.8	56.8	97.5
DK	11	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Now I would like to ask you a few questions about Lake Michigan? How would you rate Ozaukee County government efforts to address each of the following issues relating to Lake Michigan? Would you say government efforts are adequate, need some improvement, or need a lot of improvement?**

**Beach and bluff erosion?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Need a lot of improvement	107	24.3	24.3	24.3
Need some improvement	143	32.5	32.5	56.8
Adequate	94	21.4	21.4	78.2
DK	96	21.8	21.8	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preservation of plant and wildlife habitat along the Lake?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Need a lot of improvement	74	16.8	16.8	16.8
Need some improvement	148	33.6	33.6	50.5
Adequate	123	28.0	28.0	78.4
DK	95	21.6	21.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Runoff entering the lake?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	145	33.0	33.0	33.0
	Need some improvement	101	23.0	23.0	55.9
	Adequate	79	18.0	18.0	73.9
	DK	115	26.1	26.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Public access to the lake?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	97	22.0	22.0	22.0
	Need some improvement	127	28.9	28.9	50.9
	Adequate	162	36.8	36.8	87.7
	DK	54	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Monitoring beach water quality?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	129	29.3	29.3	29.3
	Need some improvement	112	25.5	25.5	54.8
	Adequate	105	23.9	23.9	78.6
	DK	94	21.4	21.4	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Forest and woodland protection along the lake?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Need a lot of improvement	99	22.5	22.5	22.5
	Need some improvement	103	23.4	23.4	45.9
	Adequate	142	32.3	32.3	78.2
	DK	96	21.8	21.8	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Current County ordinance requires buildings along Lake Michigan bluffs to be set back 75 feet from bluffs, Ozaukee County is considering increasing the setback to more than 75 feet. Would you oppose or favor a greater bluff setback requirement?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	38	8.6	8.6	8.6
	Favor	354	80.5	80.5	89.1
	Need more information	21	4.8	4.8	93.9
	DK	27	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Do you own land on Lake Michigan?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	424	96.4	96.4	96.4
Yes	13	3.0	3.0	99.3
DK	3	.7	.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**These next questions are about services in Ozaukee County.**

**How would you rate the quality of kindergarten through grade 12 education in your local public schools? Would you say the quality of education is:**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	9	2.0	2.0	2.0
Below average	9	2.0	2.0	4.1
Average	54	12.3	12.3	16.4
Good	157	35.7	35.7	52.0
Excellent	144	32.7	32.7	84.8
DK	67	15.2	15.2	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Which of the following best describes your opinion about the capacity of your local public schools? Would you say:**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Your public schools are overcrowded	17	3.9	3.9	3.9
Some public schools are overcrowded, but others are not	117	26.6	26.6	30.5
Or overcrowding is not a problem in your public schools	204	46.4	46.4	76.8
DK	102	23.2	23.2	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**In which public school district do you live?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Cedarburg School District	92	20.9	20.9	20.9
Cedar Grove - Belgium School District	13	3.0	3.0	23.9
Grafton School District	77	17.5	17.5	41.4
Mequon - Thiensville School District	88	20.0	20.0	61.4
Northern Ozaukee School District	29	6.6	6.6	68.0
Port Washington	91	20.7	20.7	88.6
DK	50	11.4	11.4	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**How would you rate your access to healthcare services in Ozaukee County? Would you say your access to healthcare services is:**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	7	1.6	1.6	1.6
Fair	44	10.0	10.0	11.6
Good	180	40.9	40.9	52.5
Excellent	194	44.1	44.1	96.6
DK	15	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**We would like to know how residents feel about priorities for land use in Ozaukee County. For each of the following kinds of land use please tell me if you think it should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority for Ozaukee County.**

**Making sure that new housing developments include parks and greenspace or have a park within walking distance..**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	60	13.6	13.6	13.6
Medium priority	129	29.3	29.3	43.0
High priority	241	54.8	54.8	97.7
DK	10	2.3	2.3	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Ensuring that adequate land exists for future commercial and industrial growth..**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	113	25.7	25.7	25.7
Medium priority	187	42.5	42.5	68.2
High priority	129	29.3	29.3	97.5
DK	11	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Limiting the number and location of big-box retail developments.**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	78	17.7	17.7	17.7
Medium priority	119	27.0	27.0	44.8
High priority	235	53.4	53.4	98.2
DK	8	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Placing a stronger emphasis on identifying and preserving historic buildings.**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	68	15.5	15.5	15.5
Medium priority	150	34.1	34.1	49.5
High priority	215	48.9	48.9	98.4
DK	7	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Preserving Ozaukee County's small town character..**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	29	6.6	6.6	6.6
Medium priority	95	21.6	21.6	28.2
High priority	309	70.2	70.2	98.4
DK	7	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Now I would like to ask a few questions about business development in Ozaukee County:**

**Do you think attracting new businesses and new jobs to Ozaukee County should be a high priority, a medium priority, or a low priority?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Low priority	36	8.2	8.2	8.2
Medium priority	177	40.2	40.2	48.4
High priority	221	50.2	50.2	98.6
DK	6	1.4	1.4	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**As you think about the future of Ozaukee County, would you favor or oppose the development of the following types of industries:**

**Manufacturing**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	133	30.2	30.2	30.2
Favor	273	62.0	62.0	92.3
DK	34	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Retail**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Oppose	118	26.8	26.8	26.8
Favor	297	67.5	67.5	94.3
DK	25	5.7	5.7	100.0
Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Tourism**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	61	13.9	13.9	13.9
	Favor	364	82.7	82.7	96.6
	DK	15	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Small scale farming**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	28	6.4	6.4	6.4
	Favor	393	89.3	89.3	95.7
	DK	19	4.3	4.3	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Information technology**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	49	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Favor	361	82.0	82.0	93.2
	DK	30	6.8	6.8	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Warehousing and distribution**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	240	54.5	54.5	54.5
	Favor	161	36.6	36.6	91.1
	DK	39	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Financial and insurance**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	117	26.6	26.6	26.6
	Favor	296	67.3	67.3	93.9
	DK	27	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Health care**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	78	17.7	17.7	17.7
	Favor	343	78.0	78.0	95.7
	DK	19	4.3	4.3	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Arts and entertainment**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	50	11.4	11.4	11.4
	Favor	381	86.6	86.6	98.0
	DK	9	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

Finally, we have a few questions we ask for classification purposes. Please remember that your answers are anonymous.

**Which of the following categories best describes your place of residence?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Farm	17	3.9	3.9	3.9
	Single family home	338	76.8	76.8	80.7
	Condominium	26	5.9	5.9	86.6
	Apartment	29	6.6	6.6	93.2
	Duplex	17	3.9	3.9	97.0
	Senior housing	7	1.6	1.6	98.6
	Other	4	.9	.9	99.5
	DK	2	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

**Is that a working farm, a hobby farm, or is there no active farming on your land?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working	8	1.8	47.1	47.1
	Hobby	6	1.4	35.3	82.4
	Inactive	3	.7	17.6	100.0
	Total	17	3.9	100.0	
Missing	System	423	96.1		
Total		440	100.0		

**Please stop me when I read the category that best describes your annual household income before taxes**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$30,000	52	11.8	11.8	11.8
	At least \$30,000 but less than \$50,000	70	15.9	15.9	27.7
	At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	95	21.6	21.6	49.3
	At least \$75,000 but less than \$125,000	90	20.5	20.5	69.8
	\$125,000 or more	52	11.8	11.8	81.6
	Refused	54	12.3	12.3	93.9
	DK	27	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

### Respondent Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	180	40.9	40.9	40.9
	Female	260	59.1	59.1	100.0
	Total	440	100.0	100.0	

### Statistics

		In what year were you born?	Including yourself, how many people reside in your household?	How many children under age 18 reside in your household?	How long have you lived in Ozaukee County?
N	Valid	428	439	367	440
	Missing	12	1	73	0
Mean		1950.70	2.68	.74	27.24
Median		1951.00	2.00	.00	25.00
Mode		1957	2	0	30