



**DRAFT – NOT APPROVED**

**MINUTES**  
**OZAUKEE COUNTY**  
**COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING**  
**CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE -**  
**AGRICULTURAL AND NATURAL RESOURCES (ANR) WORK GROUP**  
**TUESDAY, MAY 15, 2007 @ 6:00 PM**  
**OZAUKEE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION CENTER – AUDITORIUM**  
**(121 W. MAIN STREET, PORT WASHINGTON)**

---

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dale Buser, Dan Kline, Gail Epping Overholt, Jill Hapner, Joanne Kline, Ken Albinger, Mike Grisar, Pat Marchese, Roger Noll Sharon Gayan and Victor Pappas

**MEMBERS EXCUSED**

Angie Tornes, Cathy Stern, Sue Millin, Pattie Galle, Angie Curtes, Coreen Ripp Fallat and Shawn Graff

**MEMBERS ABSENT**

Claire Vanderslice, Dale Katsma, Eric Rathke, Ronald Stadler, Tim Kaul, John Pipkorn, Noel Cutright, and William Warwrzyn

**STAFF PRESENT**

Andrew Struck - Ozaukee County - Planning, Resources and Land Management Department  
Paul Roback - UW-Extension, Ozaukee County  
Ben McKay - Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC)  
Nancy Anderson - Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC)

**GUESTS**

Mike Hahn- Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC)  
Bob Biebel - Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC)

**HANDOUTS**

- PowerPoint Presentation entitled "Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Water Supply Planning Program- Background, an Update, and Early Findings"
- PowerPoint Presentation entitled "SEWRPC Regional Water Quality Management Plan Update for the Greater Milwaukee Watersheds- Recommended Plan"

**CALL TO ORDER AND MEMBER INTRODUCTIONS**

Chairperson, Jill Hapner called the meeting to order at 6:04 PM. Each of the ANR Work Group members did self introductions.

**ASSURANCE THAT THE MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED / ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

Andrew Struck assured that the meeting was properly noticed and posted. **A motion was made by Pat Marchese and seconded by Dan Kline to accept the agenda as written. All members present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.**

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**A motion was made by Gail Epping Overholt and seconded by Dan Kline to approve the minutes of Tuesday, April 17, 2007 Agricultural and Natural Resources Work Group meeting as written. All members present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.**

**PRESENTATION: SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGIONAL WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE (RWQMP) AND TENTATIVELY THE REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY PLAN – MIKE HAHN, SEWRPC**

Mike Hahn, SEWRPC, presented on the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Water Quality Management Plan Update.

Bob Biebel, SEWRPC, presented on Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Water Supply Planning Program- Background, an Update, and Early Findings.

The Work Group asked questions as the presentations were given. There was a short question and answer period following the presentation as well.

Mr. Biebel provided a “Water Conservation Exercise” for Work Group members to take and return to Mr. Biebel. He noted it was a voluntary survey or exercise to assess “desired” water conservation practices in Southeastern Wisconsin. Mr. Biebel noted that completed forms could also be provided to Andrew Struck, Ben McKay or Nancy Anderson at the next ANR WG meeting.

**UPDATE ON THE INVENTORY OF AGRICULTURAL, NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES (CHAPTER III)**

Andrew Struck reported that staff is still working to incorporate the changes requested by the Work Group. In addition to the Agricultural and Natural Resources inventory, there have been some updates on the Cultural Resources portion.

**REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THE UPDATE TO THE APPROVED LAND EVALUATION AND SITE ASSESSMENT (LESA) ANALYSIS FOR THE AGRICULTURAL PORTION OF THE PRELIMINARY INVENTORY AND ELEMENT CHAPTERS OF AGRICULTURAL, NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES CHAPTERS (CHAPTER III AND VII) FOR THE MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR OZAUKEE COUNTY: 2035**

Andrew Struck reported that the Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) and Comprehensive Planning Board (CPB) have both reviewed and approved the original LESA Analysis. Additionally, as Andrew and Ben have visited with local municipalities, they have had very favorable comments of the original LESA Analysis.

Nancy Anderson reported that the GIS data layer for permanently protected lands (SA-3C factor) had to be manually adjusted. The analysis was re-run with the corrected data, but did not have much of an impact on the original LESA results. The mean and median scores were not affected.

Nancy Anderson also reported on the findings of the LESA Analysis without the SA-3 factors (other public values of a parcel), which was conducted based on comments received at the previous Work Group meeting. Although the scores went up along with the mean and median (approx. 6.8 and 6.9), it did not impact the geographic distribution of higher scoring parcels throughout the County very much. Nancy presented a revised LESA map without SA-3 factors and pointed out that there are more parcels that had a LESA score of nine or higher. In the original analysis, three parcels scored a nine or higher, whereas in the new analysis, 130 parcels scored a nine or higher. Since the overall scores in the new analysis are higher, it raised the mean and median score higher as well.

There was some discussion by the Work Group on the unusual distribution of parcels with the LESA analysis excepting the SA-3 factors; whereas, the original LESA analysis provide a much better “normal” distribution of the parcels. Therefore, the original analysis has a traditional bell curve, whereas the new analysis does not.

The Work Group also discussed utilizing both maps in the LESA analysis report, but concluded that this would probably be too confusing.

Andrew Struck noted that staff are continuing to gather supporting documentation for the LESA analysis. Specifically, Andrew Struck noted that a planning intern working for the County (also a graduate student at UWM in planning) is conducting a statistical analysis of the LESA analysis. He noted that once the results of the LESA analysis statistics project is completed, staff would

assess and share the results with the Work Group. He noted that this might provide a more thorough review of the original LESA analysis and the LESA analysis without SA-3 factors.

The Work Group also discussed the comparison of both Table 1's provided in the Work Group packet (Table 1: Ozaukee County LESA Scores: 2007 and Table 1: Ozaukee County LESA Scores without SA-3 Criteria: 2007).

The Work Group had some additional discussion on the two LESA analysis maps and the write-up that was provided to the Work Group.

**A motion was made by Dale Buser and seconded by Dan Kline to approve the original Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) analysis results for the Agricultural portion of Chapters III and VII of the Multi-Jurisdictional Comprehensive Plan for Ozaukee County: 2035 using all the layers included in the original analysis, including all SA-3 factors . All members present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.**

**DISCUSSION ON THE TIMELINE, MEETING SCHEDULE AND NEXT STEPS**

Andrew Struck noted the various timelines and meeting schedules provided in the Work Group meeting packet.

**PLANNING NEWS/EDUCATIONAL AND OUTREACH RESOURCES**

Andrew Struck noted the articles and other outreach items that were provided in the Work Group meeting packet.

**PUBLIC COMMENT AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS**

There was no public comment and no written communications reported.

**ANY OTHER BUSINESS AS ALLOWED BY LAW**

There was nothing reported.

**NEXT MEETING DATE(S)**

Tuesday, June 12, 2007

**ADJOURNMENT**

**A motion was made by Jill Hapner and seconded by Gail Epping Overholt to adjourn the meeting. All members present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.** The meeting adjourned at 8:28 PM.

*Respectfully recorded and submitted by Paul Roback, Ben McKay, Nancy Anderson, and Andrew Struck as proofed by Dale Buser, Secretary.*